Avian mortalities from two wind farms at Kutch, Gujarat and Davangere, Karnataka, India
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Wind power is renewable and helps reduce greenhouse gas emission from the energy sector; however, it also has undesirable impacts on the environment. Studies from Europe and the USA report negative impact of wind farms on wildlife, especially on birds. India, the fourth largest producer of wind energy and also a mega biodiverse country has little information on this issue. Here, we report bird collisions from two wind farms: one at Kutch, Gujarat in western India and another from Davangere, Karnataka in southern India. A total of 47 bird carcasses belonging to at least 11 species in a period of three years were reported from Kutch and seven carcasses of at least three species in a period of one year were recorded at Davangere wind farm. The estimated annual bird mortality rate for Kutch was 0.478 birds/turbine and for Davangere it was 0.466 birds/turbine.
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Wind power is one of the fastest growing renewable energy sectors in the world1. India is the fourth largest producer of wind energy with an installed capacity of
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32,280 MW as of March 2017, with a target of 60 GW by 2022 (ref. 2). Tamil Nadu, Maharashtra, Gujarat, Rajasthan and Karnataka are the leading states where most wind turbines are installed. Although wind power is considered as a green energy source, there are negative impacts of wind farms on wildlife. Wind farms affect terrestrial mammals5 and reptiles2, but the most affected groups are birds and bats6,7. The rotating blades of the wind turbine cause bird collisions9. Apart from bird collision which is considered as the major impact of wind turbines, other noted negative impacts include displacement of birds from the turbine area9, changes in territorial behaviour of birds due to turbine noise10 and habitat alteration11.

This issue came to light after large-scale raptor mortality was documented at the Attamount Pass, USA in 1990 (ref. 11). Since then, bird mortality at wind farms has been extensively studied in Europe and North America8,12,13 but remains poorly studied in Asia14,15. In India, there have been few studies on the effect of wind farms on bats and birds. The existing two studies are from Maharashtra and Gujarat6,17. Considering the rich diversity of avifauna with 554 important bird areas (IBA) spread all across the country18, the rapid increase in wind turbines’ needs to be examined for their possible impacts on native avifauna.

The present study explored bird collisions with wind turbines at two wind farms; one located in the Samakhiali region, Kutch district, Gujarat and the other in the Harapanahalli region, Davangere district, Karnataka. Both wind farms are located in entirely different habitats; Samakhiali is a coastal area located close to the Little Rann of Kutch and Harapanahalli is a hilly area in central Karnataka. The specific aim of this study was to document avian collisions and provide an estimate of the annual mortality rate for these two wind farms.

Samakhiali wind farm is located in the Samakhiali region of Kutch district (23°15′3.1″–23°11′21.72″N and 70°30′8.68″–70°38′24.68″E). The high winds and flat terrain close to the Arabian Sea make it a suitable location for wind-power generation, and has resulted in a large number of wind turbines being set-up in the area since 2003. This wind farm comprises about 200 turbines and covers ~120 sq. km area, and majority of the turbines are of 1.5 MW capacity with 78 m hub height and rotor diameter of 82 m. This study was conducted on the request of a private wind farm company; hence all the turbines (24) belonging to that company were selected for the study. There are about 75 wind turbines located 10 km from the present location, which is not covered in the study. The wind farm is a part of ‘Hyarada Block-C Reserved Forests’, a dry deciduous forest surrounded by human settlements. The elevation ranges from 500 to 800 m. This area is dominated by Ziziphus mauritiana and Terminalia crenulata. It also has many small wetlands within 5 km radius of the wind farm and River Tungabhadra flows about 12 km west of the study area. The study area has a rich avifaunal diversity with about 115 species of birds (pers. obs. by V.A., P.R.A. and R.J.).

Carcass surveys were conducted at the selected 59 turbines from October 2011 to July 2014 in Samakhiali. Totally, 23 cycles of search were conducted at each turbine. The average gap between two consequent searches was 40.5 days. In Harapanahalli wind farm, nine cycles of search were conducted in 24 wind turbines from January 2014 to February 2015, with an average gap of 40 days.

At both wind farms, 130 m radial area around each selected turbine was searched by slowly walking along a spiral path outwards from the centre3. On most occasions, two persons surveyed Samakhiali wind farm, whereas one person searched for carcass at Harapanahalli. The time spent on searching a turbine site was approximately 30–40 min. When a carcass or feather-spot (feather and bone remaining)19 was found, data on species, condition of carcass and distance from the turbine base were recorded. Bird nomenclature as provided by Praveen et al.20 was followed. The study covered both migratory (October–March) and non-migratory (April–September) periods of the birds. There was not much difficulty in locating the carcasses at both wind farms; hence the detection probabilities for both wind farms were assumed as 1, i.e. the observer could detect all the carcasses which were available below the turbine during a search. The injuries observed in some carcasses (like broken leg, injuries on the head, broken wing) revealed that death was caused by collision of birds with the wind
Table 1. List of bird collisions at Samakhiali wind farm, Kutch, Gujarat (between October 2011 and July 2014 from 59 turbines locations and 23 rounds of search) and Harapanahalli wind farm, Davanagere, Karnataka (between January 2014 and February 2015 from 24 turbines)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Family</th>
<th>Common name</th>
<th>Scientific name</th>
<th>Resident status</th>
<th>IUCN status</th>
<th>No. of bird mortalities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ardeidae</td>
<td>Black-crowned night heron</td>
<td>Nycticorax nycticorax</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>LC</td>
<td>Samakhiali 1 Harapanahalli –</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ardeidae</td>
<td>Cattle egret</td>
<td>Bubulcus ibis</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>LC</td>
<td>Samakhiali 4(1) Harapanahalli –</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dicruridae</td>
<td>Black drongo</td>
<td>Dicrurus macrocercus</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>LC</td>
<td>Samakhiali 1(1) Harapanahalli –</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charadriidae</td>
<td>Red-wattled lapwing</td>
<td>Vanellus indicus</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>LC</td>
<td>Samakhiali 1 Harapanahalli –</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ciconiidae</td>
<td>Painted stork</td>
<td>Mycteria leucocephala</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>NT</td>
<td>Samakhiali 1 Harapanahalli –</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Columbidae</td>
<td>Rock pigeon</td>
<td>Columba livia</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>LC</td>
<td>Samakhiali 6(1) Harapanahalli –</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Columbidae</td>
<td>Eurasian collared dove</td>
<td>Streptopelia decaocto</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>LC</td>
<td>Samakhiali 10(1) Harapanahalli –</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corvidae</td>
<td>House crow</td>
<td>Corvus splendens</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>LC</td>
<td>Samakhiali 4 Harapanahalli –</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Falconidae</td>
<td>Common kestrel</td>
<td>Falco tinnunculus</td>
<td>WV</td>
<td>LC</td>
<td>Samakhiali 4(1) Harapanahalli –</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pelecanidae</td>
<td>Dalmatian pelican</td>
<td>Pelecanus crispus</td>
<td>WV</td>
<td>VU</td>
<td>Samakhiali 1(1) Harapanahalli –</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strigidae</td>
<td>Pallid Scops owl</td>
<td>Otus brucei</td>
<td>WV</td>
<td>LC</td>
<td>Samakhiali 1(1) Harapanahalli –</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcidiidae</td>
<td>White-throated kingfisher</td>
<td>Halcyon smyrnensis</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>LC</td>
<td>Samakhiali 1 Harapanahalli –</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apodidae</td>
<td>Little swift</td>
<td>Apus affinis</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>LC</td>
<td>Samakhiali 1 Harapanahalli –</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pityidae</td>
<td>Indian pitta</td>
<td>Pitta brachyura</td>
<td>WV</td>
<td>LC</td>
<td>Samakhiali 2 Harapanahalli –</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unidentified raptors of family Accipitridae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Samakhiali 5 (2) Harapanahalli 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other unidentified taxa</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Samakhiali 4 Harapanahalli 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Samakhiali 47 Harapanahalli 7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The number of carcasses used for scavenger removal test is given in parenthesis. R, Resident; WV, Winter visitor; LC, Least concern; NT, Near threatened and VU, Vulnerable.

Bird carcasses following collisions may be scavenged by dogs, jackals and other scavenger species between two consequent searches. The major scavengers seen in the study area during our surveys included Bengal monitor (Varanus bengalensis), Golden jackal (Canis aureus) and domesticated dog (Canis familiaris). This bias can be corrected using scavenger removal tests, which determine the average number of days that a bird carcass remains in the search area below the turbine before being removed by scavengers. We used ten bird carcasses belonging to ten different species (three fresh; seven old/scavenged carcasses with only feathers and bones) for this test from Samakhiali wind farm. The smallest species used was black drongo, Dicrurus macrocercus and the largest was Dalmatian pelican Pelecanus crispus (see Table 1 for species used for carcass test). No statistical test was performed to assess the role of body size in scavenger removal due to low sample size. Only two carcasses were used from Harapanahalli wind farm (Table 1). The mean length of time a carcass remained on a plot \( T \) was calculated based on the following equation

\[
T = \sum \frac{t_i}{S},
\]

where \( t_i \) is the total length of time a carcass remained on the site and \( S \) is the total number of carcasses planted for the study.

The estimated number of annual fatalities \( M \) was calculated for the Samakhiali and Harapanahalli wind farms separately using the following formula

\[
M = \frac{N \times I \times C \times k}{k 	imes T},
\]

where \( N \) is the total number of turbines, \( I \) the interval between searches (days), \( C \) the total number of carcasses found, \( k \) the number of turbines sampled and \( T \) is the mean length of time the carcasses remained on the site before being scavenged. The mortality rate per turbine was estimated by dividing \( M \) (estimated number of annual fatalities of the wind farms) by the number of turbines present.

In Samakhiali wind farm, 47 bird mortalities belonging to 11 species (eight resident and three winter visitors), including globally threatened Dalmatian pelican (vulnerable) and near-threatened painted stork were recorded (Table 1). Eurasian collared dove had maximum number of collisions (ten individuals), followed by rock pigeon (six individuals), common kestrel, cattle egret and house crow, each with four mortalities. In all, five carcasses were identified to family level (Accipitridae) and eight could not be identified to family level as they were scavenged beyond recognition. Among the 47 mortalities, 43 were recorded in the migratory season (October to March) and four during non-migratory season (April to September). Among the 59 turbines searched, 37 turbine locations had bird carcasses recorded. All the carcasses were recorded within 80 m from the base of the turbine and maximum number of carcasses (21) was recorded within 20 m from turbine base (Figure 1).
The simple average of bird collision for 59 turbines was 0.27 birds/turbine/year. The estimated annual mortality rate using the scavenger removal formula was 0.47 birds/turbine/year (over two years and ten months). Three fresh carcasses (pallid scops owl; rock pigeon and cattle egret) and seven scavenged carcasses (only feathers were remaining) found during the search were left as such for the scavenger removal test. On an average the fresh carcasses remained intact in the field for 1.3 ± 0.57 (SD) days. However, the feathers of carcasses lasted for 23.7 ± 13 SD days. Since most of our records of carcasses were from feather remains, we used 23.7 days as the residence time for estimation of annual mortality rate. However, there are chances that fresh carcasses might have been carried away entirely by scavengers without trace, and it is likely that smaller birds may disappear entirely in a short period of time.

In Harapanahalli, seven bird mortalities belonging to at least three species were recorded (average 0.29 birds/year/turbine). The bird carcasses observed included Indian pitta, white-throated kingfisher, little swift, one unidentified raptor (family accipitridae) and two other unidentified taxa (Table 1). In all, four carcasses were recorded in the migratory season and three during the non-migratory season. None of the species recorded was listed as threatened by IUCN. Among the three species, one (Indian pitta) is a winter visitor and other two are residents of the study area. All bird carcasses were recorded within 60 m from the turbine base (average: 21.5 m) (Figure 2). Two fresh carcasses (Indian pitta and little swift) were left in the field and monitored daily for the scavenger removal rate estimation. The mean length of time a carcass remained on a plot ($T$) was 2.5 days. The estimated annual bird mortality rate for the Harapanahalli wind farm was 0.466 birds/turbine (1.5 MW capacity).

Our study documented mortality of birds owing to collision with wind turbines from two wind farms. The number and species of birds involved in collisions were different. No common species were observed in Samakhiali and Harapanahalli wind farm mortalities. However, the family Accipitridae was found to be affected in both the wind farms and also from another study in India. Raptor mortalities were reported from bird collision studies in USA, UK and Europe. Apart from raptors, Eurasian collared dove and rock pigeon were killed in more numbers at Samakhiali wind farm. Similar to this observation, high number of rock pigeon mortalities was reported from many wind farms in USA. The water bird mortalities at Samakhiali could be due the presence of numerous wetlands within the wind farm. Similarly, for Indian pitta in the forested area of Harapanahalli, the collisions mainly depend on the bird composition present at the wind farm.

At Samakhiali, most of the carcasses were recorded during the migratory season when the area is extensively used by migratory birds. The resident birds also faced mortality during winter; this suggests the role of other parameters such as climatic conditions in determining bird mortalities. For instance, it is reported that bad weather conditions can cause high bird mortalities. Although the estimated annual mortality rate for Samakhiali was 0.47 birds/turbine/year (over two years and ten months), this estimation could be lower as smaller carcasses could have been removed by scavengers and such bias of carcass size is not accounted in our estimation. The fresh carcasses we planted for bias correction test were removed in about two days. Hence, in future studies more frequent searches (minimum weekly twice) will give a better understanding of the mortality patterns. There is further chance that the estimated mortality rate in this study may be on the lower side because we assumed searcher efficiency as 1 (100%). The mortality rates reported in studies from other countries showed large variation; for example, from 0 to 64 birds/turbine annually among wind farms. Studies that show high mortality rates include a report of 64.26 birds/turbine/
year at El Perdón, Spain\textsuperscript{13,24}, 54 birds/turbine/year in Solano County, USA\textsuperscript{1}, and 35 birds/turbine/year in Boudewijnkanaalte Brugge, Belgium\textsuperscript{25}. In contrast to such high records of bird mortalities, studies conducted at Green Mt, Searsburg and Algonia, USA reported zero bird mortality\textsuperscript{7}.

Species affected also vary based on the geographical and climatic features. More than the number of birds killed, the type of species getting killed is of concern. Raptors are the most affected group of birds worldwide by wind turbines and the present study is yet another proof of this. The long-term impacts of wind farms on raptors are of greater concern, because they produce few offspring and have a long life expectancy and occupy the top of the food chain\textsuperscript{26}. A study conducted in Spain showed that wind farms are a serious threat to the long-living raptors, as they decrease the survival rates of this species and increase the chance of extinction\textsuperscript{27}.

Apart from direct collisions, the displacement of bird species from wind turbines should also be taken into consideration\textsuperscript{8}. For instance, wind turbines installed in the habitat of critically endangered species such as the Great Indian bustard \textit{Ardeotis nigriceps} may seriously affect the very survival of these birds through habitat alteration. In Harapanahalli, we found, that roads were constructed on many small hillocks and these hillocks were flattened for turbine installation. This led to extensive destruction of vegetation cover in the area, otherwise a dry deciduous forest habitat for many bird species.

Considering the increased power consumption in India, the renewable sources of power production have to be tapped but the impacts on avifauna should also be borne in mind. The present study indicates the potential impacts of wind turbines on birds. Various mitigation measurements are being followed in some places, including automatic shutdown of turbines whenever a high-risk situation occurs (e.g. bird flocks approaching the high collision risk zone), or restriction of operation during certain times of the day or seasons when bird activity is high in the area. Increasing wind turbine visibility for the birds using appropriate methods, placing bird deterrents and habitat management, including creation of alternative feeding areas\textsuperscript{28}, can also help in the mitigation of impacts by wind farms. Further large-scale experimental data are needed to confirm the effectiveness of these practices in mitigating wind-farm bird collisions. We strongly recommend detailed bird monitoring studies before installation of wind turbines. Careful selection of windfarm sites based on avifaunal importance of these sites, and implementation of appropriate management and mitigation options can definitely help reduce the impacts of wind farms on birds to a large extent.

15. Tajiri, H. et al., Relationship between flight route selection of wintering white-fronted geese and weather conditions, and foraging sites in the vicinity of Awaran Wind Farm, Fukui Prefecture, central Japan. \textit{J. Field Ornithol.}, 2013, \textbf{29}, 1–16.
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