```
TAZEWELL COUNTY ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS HEARING
 1
 2
 3
 4 Date:
                     May 1, 2008
   Time:
                     6:00 p.m.
                     McKenzie Building
 5 Location:
                     Court and Fourth Street
 6
                     Third Floor
                     Pekin, Illinois
 7
 8
 9 PRESENT:
10
                ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS
11
                Loren Toevs, Chairman
                Mary Hoeft
12
                Steve Larson
                Duane Lessen
13
                Jim Newman
                Ken Zimmerman
                Bob Vogelsang
14
15
16
   ALSO PRESENT:
17
                Kristal Deininger
18
                Mike Holly, Esq.
                Paul Lewis, Esq.
19
                Nick Hayward
                Jackie Workman
20
                Judy Searle
                Melissa Killion
21
```

23

24

ALLIANCE REPORTING SERVICE 6746 NORTH FROSTWOOD PARKWAY, PEORIA, ILLINOIS 61615

J

1		INDEX
2		
3	Case	Number 08-16-S (Continuing)
4		
5		Examination of Richard James 22-103
6		Examination of Michael McCann 104-190
7		Examination of Michael McCann 104-190
8	NOTARY	PUBLIC CERTIFICATION 199
9	NUTART	FUBLIC CENTIFICATION 199
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		

```
Frank Miles, Esq.
15 Bill Whitlock
Bennett Lasco
16
```

20

21

22

23

24

Chris Spanos, Esq.

ALLIANCE REPORTING SERVICE 6746 NORTH FROSTWOOD PARKWAY, PEORIA, ILLINOIS 61615

3

```
1 CHAIRMAN TOEVS: Let me have your
```

2 attention. We're about to start this meeting.

The same ground rules that applied before,

4 apply now, about extraneous testimony and so on and

5 so forth.

6 Okay, I would like to have a roll call,

7 please.

8	MS. DEININGER: Hoeft.
9	MS. HOEFT: Present.
10	MS. DEININGER: Larson.
11	MR. LARSON: Present.
12	MS. DEININGER: Lessen.
13	MR. LESSEN: Present.
14	MS. DEININGER: Newman.
15	MR. NEWMAN: Present.
16	MS. DEININGER: Vogelsang.
17	MR. VOGELSANG: Present.
18	MS. DEININGER: Zimmerman.
19	MR. ZIMMERMAN: Here.
20	MS. DEININGER: Chairman Toevs.
21	CHAIRMAN TOEVS: Here.
22	MS. DEININGER: We have a quorum.

We need a motion to reconvene from the

4

23

24 previous meeting.

- 1 MR. NEWMAN: So moved.
- 2 MR. ZIMMERMAN: Second.
- 3 CHAIRMAN TOEVS: That was Jim and Ken.
- 4 It has been moved and seconded that we
- 5 reconvene the wind farm meeting from the 15th of
- 6 April to the 1st of May.
- 7 All those favor say aye.
- 8 (All saying aye).
- 9 CHAIRMAN TOEVS: All opposed say nay.
- Okay, now we're going to turn this over to
- 11 Chris Spanos for his part of the presentation.
- MR. SPANOS: Mr. Chairman, I think Mr.
- 13 Miles has filed a motion, which I received late
- 14 yesterday. I'm sure Mr. Miles would like to have
- 15 that motion heard before we proceed.
- 16 CHAIRMAN TOEVS: Go ahead, Mr. Miles.
- MR. MILES: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, ladies
- 18 and gentlemen.
- We did file a motion to limit the testimony
- 20 of two of the disclosed so-called expert witnesses,
- 21 specifically we objected to Luke Taylor being
- 22 qualified as an expert witness, and Rene Taylor
- 23 being qualified as an expert witness.
- 24 Really there are two reasons for that

- 1 objection. The first is that neither of them seems
- 2 to have the academic background or experience to
- 3 qualify them as an expert. And secondly, and
- 4 perhaps as importantly, Mr. Taylor had an
- 5 opportunity and in fact spoke during the ten-minute
- 6 presentations. And Rene Taylor was asked whether
- 7 she wanted to speak and indicated she did not want
- 8 to speak. And so it's for those reasons that we
- 9 would ask to have those two, quote, unquote, expert
- 10 witnesses excluded this evening.
- MR. SPANOS: May I respond?
- 12 CHAIRMAN TOEVS: Yes.
- MR. SPANOS: Mr. Miles in his motion
- 14 suggests that the reason that we reconvened or
- 15 continued this matter was simply for expert
- 16 witnesses.
- 17 If you look at the motion itself, the
- 18 relief asked for does not ask for a continuance
- 19 just for expert witnesses, it asks for time to put http://webmail.omnilec.com/service/home/~/?id=24573&part=3&auth=co&disp=i

- 20 together a case.
- 21 Now, with respect to the specific
- 22 objections to Rene Taylor; Ms. Taylor has lived
- 23 under a wind farm for a year. There is not, I
- 24 don't imagine, one other person in here that has

6

- 1 that expertise. And there is no requirement in
- 2 Illinois law, or any other law that I'm aware of,
- 3 of any specific academic knowledge. An expert
- 4 witness has a specific knowledge that's not
- 5 something that's common to anyone else. Ms. Taylor
- 6 has this knowledge and clearly is an expert
- 7 witness.

- With respect to Mr. Taylor, this is Mr. 8
- 9 Taylor's case, I think he has a right to be heard
- 10 and he has information that we can bring before the
- 11 Board. Sure, he had his ten minutes, but he has
- 12 other things that I think we can bring before the

- 13 Board that are relevant and pertinent, and I think
- 14 due process requires that you give him an
- 15 opportunity to be heard in this case with his
- 16 lawyer asking him questions. Mr. Miles will have
- 17 every opportunity to cross examine Mr. Taylor, and
- 18 Ms. Taylor as far as that goes.
- The one last thing I would point out with
- 20 respect to Mr. Miles' motion, on one hand he seeks
- 21 to bar Mr. Taylor because he's testified once
- 22 already, and on the other hand he seeks to bar Ms.
- 23 Taylor because she didn't testify when she had an
- 24 opportunity.

ALLIANCE REPORTING SERVICE 6746 NORTH FROSTWOOD PARKWAY, PEORIA, ILLINOIS 61615

- 1 If you look at the motion that was made by
- 2 the Board -- if you look at the motion that I
- 3 submitted to the Board, the motion to continue does
- 4 not say continued for expert witnesses, it says
- 5 continue the matter. Therefore, I think Mr. Miles' http://webmail.omnilec.com/service/home/~/?id=24573&part=3&auth=co&disp=i 5/8/2008

- 6 motion should be denied.
- 7 MR. MILES: Mr. Chairman just in a brief
- 8 response. As I said the first night, the strict
- 9 rules of evidence don't apply at this hearing. The
- 10 purpose and object of this hearing is to get
- 11 factual information before the Board responsive to
- 12 the particular standards that are set forth in both
- 13 the Wind Energy Conversion Ordinance and the Zoning
- 14 Ordinance.
- 15 If in fact these witness, these two that we
- 16 object to, are allowed to testify, they should
- 17 testify from personal knowledge only, not opinion,
- 18 knowledge, not things that they read someplace
- 19 else, not things they saw on the Internet, but from
- 20 their personal knowledge.
- MR. SPANOS: That's a new motion, it's a
- 22 new issue. I would like an opportunity to respond,
- 23 please.

MS. DEININGER: I'm going to have to

ALLIANCE REPORTING SERVICE 6746 NORTH FROSTWOOD PARKWAY, PEORIA, ILLINOIS 61615

- 1 apologize to everyone tonight. I know the sound is
- 2 going to be bad in here. If you cannot hear, I
- 3 truly apologize. We will make it the best that we
- 4 can with what we have. So bear with us.
- MR. SPANOS: Now with the interruption I
- 6 forgot what the motion was. Mr. Miles is basically
- 7 making a hearsay objection.
- 8 CHAIRMAN TOEVS: Yes.
- 9 MR. SPANOS: And if that's a hearsay
- 10 objection and Mr. Miles wants to bar any testimony
- 11 that's hearsay, then I would move to strike just
- 12 about every sentence that Mr. Whitlock said in the
- 13 first hearing.
- Mr. Whitlock was the only person to
- 15 testify, and yet the application contains nothing
- 16 but hearsay, unless you call those witnesses.
- 17 There are -- the sound study, did Mr. Zack
- 18 testify? Does Mr. Whitlock have personal knowledge
- 19 of the study? Does Mr. Whitlock know how the study
- 20 was conducted? Of course he doesn't. And the
- 21 reason that they didn't bring those witnesses on
- 22 the first day is because a hearsay objection does
- 23 not apply.
- In this case, Mr. Taylor has done a ton of http://webmail.omnilec.com/service/home/~/?id=24573&part=3&auth=co&disp=i

П

- 1 research on a number of things, things that should
- 2 be brought to the attention of the Board, things
- 3 that are just as pertinent as any document that is
- 4 included in that Application.
- 5 So, if the Board sees fit barring Mr.
- 6 Taylor from testifying about any of those
- 7 documents, then I would move that we strike every
- 8 document in the Application because as of today
- 9 those are all hearsay, too.
- 10 CHAIRMAN TOEVS: Would you define a ton of
- 11 research or ton of whatever?
- MR. SPANOS: We've given you a packet of
- 13 information. My intention with Mr. Taylor is to go
- 14 through some highlights in that information.
- 15 Honestly, I don't want to give you a ton of
- 16 research, I don't want to give you a ton of
- 17 testimony. I would like to see us all go home

- 18 early tonight -- I don't think it's probably going
- 19 to happen -- but I am going to do my very best to
- 20 keep it as short as possible.
- 21 You have a stack of documents, given the
- 22 opportunity Mr. Taylor will summarize some of those
- 23 issues for you. If then you decide that you want
- 24 to go home and read some of this stuff, then you

- 1 can take it home and read what you like. That's
- 2 all we intend to accomplish with Mr. Taylor.
- 3 MR. LEWIS: Mr. Chairman, if I may, because
- 4 we seem to have heard three or four motions in very
- 5 short order here labeled and unlabeled. And so
- 6 maybe if we go back two squares we might be able to
- 7 get it straight.
- 8 After this hearing started, Mr. Taylor I
- 9 believe requested the Board to give him the, what
- 10 may be either an indulgence or a right, to come in

- 11 with evidence that he had not originally named in
- 12 accordance with the usual rules of these hearings.
- 13 My understanding, and I would stand
- 14 corrected by the memory of the Board because I
- 15 don't think any of us has a transcript here, was
- 16 that the courtesy extended was to allow Mr. Taylor
- 17 the opportunity to present expert witnesses. And
- 18 arrangements were made and discussed I think at
- 19 some length in the second hearing about when Mr.
- 20 Miles would present his experts, and when Mr.
- 21 Taylor might present his experts.
- 22 And my memory, without looking at the
- 23 transcript, was that tonight was for the
- 24 presentation of expert witnesses and that the last

11

- 1 time we were here we finished, and we did finish
- 2 early, we finished at 8:20, with what one might
- 3 call ordinary testimony, that is personal

- 4 observances, personal statements, personal
- 5 understandings, which may or may not be expert but
- 6 can be weighed by this Board according to how the
- 7 Board judges the demeanor of that person and that
- 8 person's opportunity and so forth.
- 9 So, in the first instance my understanding
- 10 was that we were going to hear expert testimony
- 11 tonight. Now, Mr. Miles has correctly said that
- 12 courtroom rules of evidence do not apply here. In
- 13 a courtroom a Judge would be asked to rule on the
- 14 qualifications of the witness and would state his
- 15 reasons on the record of why this witness or that
- 16 witness was or was not an expert.
- 17 This Board does not have similar rules and
- 18 I suspect most of the time you don't really deal
- 19 with expert versus non-expert witnesses. But,
- 20 under courtroom rules there is a clear distinction
- 21 between an owner who wishes to testify as to his
- 22 personal observation, his subjective
- 23 understandings, or things that he has seen and
- 24 heard, and someone who holds themselves out and

- 1 perhaps makes their living at a given subject.
- In that context, someone who has lived next
- 3 to something for a year probably would not qualify
- 4 as an expert. I'm not sure if I lived next to a
- 5 mechanic's garage I would qualify as an expert
- 6 after a year as a mechanic. One can observe, but
- 7 they are just that, that person's subjective
- 8 observations and not trained objective analysis
- 9 that would be in the nature of an expert.
- 10 So, to that extent I think Mr. Miles'
- 11 motion is well taken. If we are here for experts
- 12 tonight, then these should be people who can put
- 13 forth professional qualifications, and I guess I
- 14 would underline that word professional, not amateur
- 15 or observed, or I read something somewhere, but I
- 16 am in this business to make a living and have been
- 17 for long enough to understand what I'm doing. To
- 18 that extent I would suggest that Mr. Miles'
- 19 objection is well taken, and that if others besides
- 20 professional experts are testifying that the Board
- 21 keep clearly in mind that they are testifying as to
- 22 their subjective personal observations.

- And in a court of law in a case of say
  24 eminent domain or putting through a power line, an
  - ALLIANCE REPORTING SERVICE 6746 NORTH FROSTWOOD PARKWAY, PEORIA, ILLINOIS 61615

- 1 owner's testimony as to his subjective like or
- 2 dislike or reaction to something that's going in
- 3 would not qualify as an expert and would not go to
- 4 the questions of value.
- 5 The standard in court, and as a matter of
- 6 fact, I did look at a number of cases on this
- 7 trying to determine things like siting. It would
- 8 be a burden on the owner to come up with proof of
- 9 what he is saying. The owner must show objective
- 10 evidence. It's not such to simply say I don't like
- 11 the way these things look or I think they're ugly
- 12 or I don't want to be near them, there must be
- 13 objective evidence as to what the harm or the
- 14 diminution of value or other aspects is. The proof
- 15 must include expert testimony which verifies and

- 16 quantifies that particular item.
- Now, an expert may rely on evidence that
- 18 experts in the field normally rely on, for example,
- 19 you realtor or your realty appraiser may say I
- 20 pulled comps by looking at the recorder's office
- 21 and looking at the tax stamps. That's something
- 22 that appraisers and realtors do. He would not have
- 23 to call in to court the person who bought and sold
- 24 the house and have their sworn testimony. Okay, he

- 1 can rely on that kind of thing.
- 2 And an expert may do that, but must tell
- 3 you what the source is and it must be a source that
- 4 is a kind that is usually used and relied on by
- 5 experts in that field. And again, my auto mechanic
- 6 may say I got a disc from General Motors and I put
- 7 it into my computer and it shows me X, Y and Z,
- 8 that's a little different than I asked my cousin

- 9 Harry that has been fixing Chevys for a number of
- 10 years; which one is relied on by experts in the
- 11 field. That's the key to that.
- 12 It's then for you to draw not only the
- 13 question of is this an expert and is his source
- 14 reliable, but also how much weight you give. Has
- 15 he given some objective evidence, has he given the
- 16 quantifiable evidence in this, and is he a person
- 17 with the kind of professional experience relying on
- 18 the kind of sources that an expert would rely on.
- Okay. That's my piece and so my
- 20 recommendation to the Board would be that those who
- 21 are speaking only from subjective, personal
- 22 observation or personal research, anyone can go on
- 23 the computer, anyone can go down the street and
- 24 look at something, that's not an expert. These are

- 2 Cross examination will bring out whether it's the
- 3 kind of material they rely on or should rely on,
- 4 whether their expertise or their training and
- 5 experience is proper.
- 6 But I think we're here to hear experts only
- 7 tonight, and I think Mr. Miles' motion is well
- 8 taken in that regard.
- 9 MR. SPANOS: Mr. Chairman, may I respond at
- 10 least in part to counsel's statement?
- I have the transcript in front of me and I
- 12 have the motion that was made by Mr. Lessen. And
- 13 the motion reads, "Mr. Chairman, I move that we
- 14 allow for a continuance with a deadline of April
- 15 23rd" -- sorry, Frank, I forgot -- "to have
- 16 documents submitted to the Zoning Administration
- 17 Office by 5:00 at the end of the 23rd and that we
- 18 -- those documents be distributed to the
- 19 appropriate people, and we have counter testimony
- 20 and testimony on May 1st."
- 21 Mr. Lewis asks, "Does your motion
- 22 contemplate also identifying what witnesses there
- 23 will be for purposes of, again, preparation on the
- 24 part of the Applicant and the Board understanding

- 1 how long the schedule might be?"
- 2 Mr. Holly gives you a short bit of advice.
- 3 "Isn't that what they asked for, a motion for a
- 4 continuance?" That's Mr. Lessen.
- 5 Mr. Holly says, "Right." And he goes on
- 6 and talks about scheduling and that's the motion
- 7 that was approved. It wasn't a motion for expert
- 8 witnesses, it was a motion to continue for
- 9 witnesses. It doesn't say expert witnesses.
- 10 I would also take exception to counsel's
- 11 description of what an expert witness is. An
- 12 expert witness, if you're going to case law and you
- 13 are going to ignore the relaxed rules of evidence,
- 14 an expert witness is someone who brings a
- 15 specialized knowledge, and I will underline the
- 16 word specialized, not professional, it's not
- 17 someone who is a doctor or an engineer necessarily,
- 18 it can be, certainly.
- 19 You have to ask yourself what's the issue
- 20 that this person is being presented to testify

- 21 about, and does this person have specialized
- 22 knowledge that no one else has or that the tryer of
- 23 fact doesn't have -- and that would be you --
- 24 that's the standard for a professional -- or excuse

- 1 me -- an expert witness.
- 2 And in this case, Ms. Taylor lives in the
- 3 shadows of turbines every day, every night. She
- 4 experiences what happens every day, every night.
- 5 She's around them all the time. Who better, who
- 6 has more specialized knowledge than someone who
- 7 lives in these turbines, in the area of these
- 8 turbines.
- 9 And what's the purpose she is being offered
- 10 for? The purpose is to tell you what it's like,
- 11 what her experiences are, what she's personally
- 12 experienced over the course of 11 months living in
- 13 the shadows of these turbines. That is specialized

- 14 knowledge. There is no one else here that has that 15 knowledge.
- 16 CHAIRMAN TOEVS: I would agree with you
- 17 except -- I agree with you, but she is one person.
- 18 Are we going to depend on one person for expert
- 19 testimony?
- MR. SPANOS: That would go to the weight
- 21 that you give it and not the admissibility of it,
- 22 sir. And, you know, you can give it whatever
- 23 credit you want. And Mr. Miles I'm sure will have
- 24 plenty of questions for her on cross examination,

- 1 she and Mr. Miles apparently have a history, so he
- 2 will have all kinds of things I'm sure to bring
- 3 out. And you can consider both her testimony on
- 4 direct, and the testimony on Mr. Miles' cross
- 5 examination.
- 6 MR. LEWIS: Mr. Spanos, if a person lives

- 7 in a house surrounded by cornfields for a year,
- 8 does that make them an expert on corn and farming?
- 9 MR. SPANOS: I would suggest in Illinois.
- 10 no, because there are millions of people that live
- 11 in the cornfields in Illinois. There are very few
- 12 that live in the shadows of wind towers that have
- 13 not signed a gag order by a wind company and taken
- 14 their money and said, okay, I can't come and
- 15 testify. Most of those people that sign those
- 16 documents signed them before they lived in the
- 17 shadows of the wind towers.
- MR. LEWIS: Are you going to present
- 19 evidence to that statement that you just made, Mr.
- 20 Spanos?
- MR. SPANOS: what statement?
- MR. LEWIS: That people signed gag orders.
- MR. SPANOS: I certainly will.
- MR. LEWIS: I would like to hear it.

- 1 MR. MILES: Let me try to respond a little
- 2 bit. The motion to continue that was filed a month
- 3 ago to give the objectors an opportunity to bring
- 4 their people back at a later date than they were
- 5 supposed to reads as follows, fundamental fairness
- 6 and due process require that this matter be
- 7 continued at least 30 days to enable a careful
- 8 review of Horizon's Special Use Permit Application
- 9 and supporting materials and to allow time to
- 10 arrange for testimony by experts on land
- 11 appraisals, safety and engineering issues, and
- 12 environmental concerns.
- I think the whole purpose of the original
- 14 continuance was to allow the objectors tonight to
- 15 bring their expert witnesses in, not to avoid the
- 16 ten-minute rule, not to avoid the fact that they
- 17 weren't ready the night they were supposed to be
- 18 ready, and so I guess if there is going to be
- 19 testimony allowed again by Luke Taylor a second
- 20 time, then it ought to clearly be based on his
- 21 personal knowledge. If Renee Taylor is going to be
- 22 allowed to testify, when she chose not to when she
- 23 had the opportunity before, her testimony, too,
- 24 ought to be based on her personal knowledge, not on

- 1 the sort of things that an expert might rely upon
- 2 to give testimony tonight.
- 3 And frankly, I think the appropriate way to
- 4 do this is we hear the experts tonight, the way
- 5 they're suppose to be, and if the Board wants to
- 6 allow a few more lay witnesses to testify later on,
- 7 that's up to the Board.
- 8 CHAIRMAN TOEVS: So now we ask the Board
- 9 what they think.
- MS. DEININGER: It's up to the Board.
- 11 CHAIRMAN TOEVS: If you got a feeling,
- 12 strong feeling one way or another, I need a motion
- 13 to do something.
- 14 MR. NEWMAN: Comment. I thought it was for
- 15 expert testimony tonight, not for continued,
- 16 continuation of witnesses and their subjective
- 17 viewpoints. I thought we were going to be
- 18 listening to experts in certain fields.

- 19 CHAIRMAN TOEVS: How do the rest of you
- 20 feel?
- MR. LARSON: Same feeling, because I
- 22 remember a number of times we used that very
- 23 specific term, expert witnesses, and was my
- 24 expectation coming in tonight for the hearing.

- 1 MR. LESSEN: I made that motion, and my
- 2 interpretation was that it would be experts. And
- 3 that was the purpose of them identifying for the
- 4 petitioner that they would be experts in whatever
- 5 field they're going to testify in.
- 6 CHAIRMAN TOEVS: Then does somebody want to
- 7 make a motion that --
- 8 MS. DEININGER: What you would be doing, if
- 9 you chose to accept Mr. Miles' motion, you have to
- 10 make a motion to accept that motion to limit --
- MR. NEWMAN: I would make a motion that we

- 3 MR. SPANOS: Just one second. I will talk
- 4 to him out in the hall and come back.
- I call Mike McCann to the stand, please.
- 6 CHAIRMAN TOEVS: Mike, raise your right
- 7 hand.
- 8 (Witness sworn.)
- 9 BY MR. SPANOS:
- 10 Q Give us your name and address, please.
- 11 A Michael McCann, spelled M-C capital
- 12 C-A-N-N. My business address is 500 North Michigan
- 13 Avenue, Chicago.
- 14 Q Mike, tell the Board how you are employed.
- 15 A I'm the owner of my own appraisal firm,
- 16 McCann Appraisal, LLC.
- 17 Q Mike, would you give the Board a brief
- 18 summary of your educational background?
- 19 A Certainly. I've taken a wide variety of
- 20 courses through the Appraisal Institute following a
- 21 couple years of college at Dupage.
- The courses that I've taken through the
- 23 Appraisal Institute in the form of real estate
- 24 appraisers all were appraisal and marketability

- 1 related courses, all resulting in obtaining an
- 2 appraisal license as listed in my qualifications on
- 3 the board.
- 4 MR. LASCO: Excuse me, Mr. Spanos, can you
- 5 just tell us if that document is in your booklet
- 6 where we can find it?
- 7 MR. SPANOS: Yes, sir. Thank you.
- 8 CHAIRMAN TOEVS: It's tab 8.
- 9 MR. SPANOS: Tab eight, second page.
- 10 Q Are you licensed to appraise in Illinois?
- 11 A I'm a licensed appraiser, certified general
- 12 real estate appraiser, which is the highest of the
- 13 three levels of licensing by the State of Illinois.
- 14 Q How long have you been working as an
- 15 appraiser?
- 16 A For 28 years with experience appraising
- 17 virtually all types of residential and commercial
- 18 property.
- 19 Q Would you briefly describe for the Board
- 20 the types of properties you have worked on over the
- 21 course of your career?

- 22 A Again, all types of residential,
- 23 commercial, industrial property, vacant land,
- 24 farms, houses, reaching from the Kominsky Park

- 1 Stadium, the old one, and all the land that was
- 2 around it that was acquired to build the new
- 3 stadium, to sanitary landfills, quarries, power
- 4 plants, typical industrial facilities, shopping
- 5 centers, trucking terminals, really just about
- 6 every type of property that is out there to
- 7 appraise.
- 8 Q And who has hired you in the past, Mike?
- 9 A I've worked for a wide variety of clients;
- 10 private enterprises, law firms such as yours, many
- 11 governmental bodies. I have been appointed by the
- 12 federal courts on pipeline property in Will County
- 13 as a commissioner to hear evidence on property
- 14 value and property value damages as it related to a http://webmail.omnilec.com/service/home/~/?id=24573&part=3&auth=co&disp=i 5/8/2008

- 15 pipeline going through a rural area in Will County,
- 16 also corporations, lenders, private individuals,
- 17 investors, just again the whole variety of
- 18 potential clients that are sometimes in need of
- 19 appraisal services.
- 20 Have you ever been asked to evaluate the
- 21 affects of a perceived negative trait upon the
- 22 value of residential real estate plots?
- 23 Yes, I have. Α
- In what circumstances? 24 0

107

- 1 Again, a wide variety of circumstances.
- 2 Sometimes it can be an issue such as contamination
- 3 from a leaking underground storage tank, or
- 4 recently a residence adjacent to the Braidwood
- 5 Nuclear Power Plant where the treated water has
- 6 leaked from where there are pipelines and saturated
- 7 the groundwater and migrated to adjacent property. http://webmail.omnilec.com/service/home/~/?id=24573&part=3&auth=co&disp=i

- 8 For many applications where there is stripping off
- 9 of property that sometimes affects the parking, or
- 10 ingress or egress to the property, in which cases
- 11 damage can result to the value of the property
- 12 beyond the value of the land actually acquired.
- 13 Q How about the effect of wind turbines or a
- 14 wind turbine facility?
- 15 A I have had a few occasions to evaluate wind
- 16 turbines, yes.
- 17 Q And you were asked in this case to evaluate
- 18 the potential effect of the Rail Splitter Wind Farm
- 19 on residential property in Tazewell County,
- 20 correct?

- 21 A That's correct.
- 22 Q And what methodology did you follow in
- 23 arriving at your conclusions?
- 24 A Well, I used the methodology that was best

ALLIANCE REPORTING SERVICE 6746 NORTH FROSTWOOD PARKWAY, PEORIA, ILLINOIS 61615

- 1 suited to the information that is available, since
- 2 this is still a relatively new land use in
- 3 Illinois. But again, with review of the proposed
- 4 Rail Splitter Wind Farm Project, you know,
- 5 including you know, the location of the project
- 6 overall, the number of turbines, the height of the
- 7 structures, and the orientation with respect to the
- 8 nearest homes. So I also inspected the project
- 9 area, reviewed the project map, and again the
- 10 proposed turbine locations. I also made a curb
- 11 site inspection of each of the objector homes that
- 12 I'm aware of that have been -- have retained your
- 13 firm.
- And beyond that I reviewed MLS listings and
- 15 sales data for homes in Lee County for properties
- 16 within or immediately adjacent to Mendota Hills, an
- 17 existing wind farm which is a smaller, I should say
- 18 not as tall of towers or structures or turbines as
- 19 what's proposed here.
- I also researched the final conclusions of
- 21 a prior case study property that had been on the
- 22 market for a very extensive period of time. The
- 23 last time I looked at one of these proposed
- 24 facilities and found the ultimate conclusion of how

- 1 that property was in fact impacted by being
- 2 basically surrounded by these turbine facilities.
- 3 Beyond that, I also made a literature
- 4 review including the REPP report, which I believe
- 5 has been referenced in this hearing prior to me
- 6 being here, as well as reports contained in
- 7 appendixes eight and nine to the Application. And
- 8 then I incorporated the market trends that exist
- 9 for residential properties adjacent to these
- 10 facilities into a probable value impact on homes in
- 11 the Rail Splitter Project.
- 12 Q What methodology -- or I'm sorry -- what
- 13 does the term USPAP, U-S-P-A-P, stand for?
- 14 A USPAP, that stands for the unified
- 15 standards of professional appraisal practice. It
- 16 was essentially established by an Act of Congress
- 17 following the savings and loan bail out in the late
- 18 80s.
- 19 It's in fact now codified in Illinois law http://webmail.omnilec.com/service/home/~/?id=24573&part=3&auth=co&disp=i

- 20 under the Illinois Appraiser Licensing Act of 2002.
- 21 Q Have you been certified in any Illinois
- 22 courts as an expert on USPAP?
- 23 A USPAP is what the appraiser's call that.
- 24 And, yes, I have by the circuit courts in Cook

П

- 1 County.
- Q Does USPAP and the Real Estate Appraisal
- 3 Licensing Act establish standards or requirements
- 4 for how appraisals of property value should be
- 5 conducted?
- 6 A Yes, USPAP does, and by being incorporated
- 7 into Illinois law it in fact does set standards for
- 8 how property is to be appraised.
- 9 Q What are those standards?
- 10 A An appropriate methodology has to be used,
- 11 and the methodology has to fit with what is
- 12 available in the market. Sometimes current sales nttp://webmail.omnilec.com/service/home/~/?id=24573&part=3&auth=co&disp=i

- 13 analysis is used if it's available, the sometimes,
- 14 in like a case like this, trying to find the effect
- 15 of the use in question, wind farms on property
- 16 values, just studying the actual property value
- 17 trends in close proximity to such a facility versus
- 18 further removed plots that have no such impact or
- 19 that would be so minimal as to be immeasurable, you
- 20 know, several miles out from such a project.
- 21 Q And you've reviewed Horizon Wind Energy's
- 22 Application for Special Use Permit in this case?
- 23 A I have, yes.
- Q Page 22 of the Application refers to

- 1 property values, have you reviewed this section of
- 2 the report?
- 3 A Yes, I have.
- 4 Q And the section also refers to appendixes
- 5 eight and nine in the Application, right, you http://webmail.omnilec.com/service/home/~/?id=24573&part=3&auth=co&disp=i

- 6 mentioned those before?
- 7 A Yes, I did.
- 8 Q In the REPP report; is that right?
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q You had an opportunity to review the REPP
- 11 report in the past?
- 12 A Yes.
- 13 Q What is the REPP report?
- 14 A Well, the REPP report is essentially an
- 15 industry publication as opposed to something made
- 16 by an appraisal firm or an objective third party.
- 17 As I've learned in the past, it is essentially a
- 18 study that was done at the behest of and financed
- 19 by the wind power industry.
- What it purports to show is an encompassing
- 21 study of plot values in wind farm locations, for
- 22 example, in the I-10 corridor near Palm Springs,
- 23 California, LaQuinta, I have actually visited that
- 24 particular location and I found that what the REPP

- 1 report is purporting is highly inaccurate really
- 2 because it was describing 25 thousand plot sales
- 3 that had been reviewed, many of which were in that
- 4 area and -- well, it's purporting to value for the
- 5 affect of a wind farm, or really multiple wind
- 6 farms, on residential property values.
- 7 Even in that study it recognizes that 70 or
- 8 72 percent of the properties aren't even within a
- 9 view shed of these wind farm facilities.
- My personal visit out in the area revealed
- 11 that that's a very rural and desolate area. The
- 12 most I saw other than -- and at that I-10 corridor,
- 13 other than a variety of different generations of
- 14 wind farm and turbine facilities, some smaller,
- 15 some larger, some old and rusty and out of
- 16 commission, and some fairly modern ones, was that
- 17 there was not a single residence in site anywhere
- 18 along that corridor.
- In fact, I had family that has a property
- 20 in the Palm Springs area and that's why I had the
- 21 occasion to visit that particular corridor and I
- 22 found it to be an inappropriate location unless you
- 23 are trying to value for the impact of wind farms on
- 24 grazing land.

- 1 Q Does the REPP report review or include any
- 2 properties located in the State of Illinois?
- 3 A It does not.
- 4 Q You have mentioned the word view shed, the
- 5 Board probably already knows, but explain that to
- 6 us.
- 7 A It mean different things to different
- 8 people, but the way I use the term, if you are in
- 9 close enough proximity that it actually impacts
- 10 your view as opposed to being such a great distance
- 11 that it can merely be seen from a great distance.
- 12 I use view shed in a more confined use of the
- 13 term. Properties in this case that are in the view
- 14 shed are certainly located within the project
- 15 footprint, covering quite a few sections, I believe
- 16 nine different sections in Tazewell County, as well
- 17 as properties in close enough proximity, say within http://webmail.omnilec.com/service/home/~/?id=24573&part=3&auth=co&disp=i 5/8/2008

- 18 three/quarters of a mile to a mile that -- it's a
- 19 daily occurrence as opposed to being on the other
- 20 side of 39 when looking at Mendota Hills.
- 21 And, you know, there is some locations that
- 22 these wind farms can be viewed from as much as five
- 23 miles away, and in one of the other appendixes
- 24 there is two different locations cited that the

114

- 1 wind farms in Texas and elsewhere can be seen as
- 2 far as eight or 24 miles away. While that
- 3 certainly is visible, I wouldn't really call that
- 4 view shed in the sense that it has any potential
- 5 for impact on property values.
- 6 Q Do you think that the REPP -- do you have
- 7 an opinion as to whether or not the REPP report is
- 8 in any way relevant to the effects of wind farms in
- 9 Illinois?

10 A I do.

- 11 Q And what's your opinion?
- 12 A It's irrelevant.
- 13 Q And why is that?

- 14 A Well, it again draws on locations which are
- 15 outside Illinois, it does not reflect the local
- 16 market or even a comparable market. It reflects
- 17 Palm Springs property values at a point in time
- 18 when values were spiking, you know, to six hundred
- 19 thousand, million and a half, multi-million dollar
- 20 properties; far different than what we find along
- 21 Litwiller Road or Boynton Road in Tazewell.
- These are not rural residential properties,
- 23 these are estates, in most cases, with walled
- 24 little communities, and each house, for that matter

ALLIANCE REPORTING SERVICE 6746 NORTH FROSTWOOD PARKWAY, PEORIA, ILLINOIS 61615

- 1 most of them, having at least six foot and in some
- 2 cases eight foot walls around the houses.
- 3 It again uses data that does not, doesn't http://webmail.omnilec.com/service/home/~/?id=24573&part=3&auth=co&disp=i

- 4 have the potential to reflect any impact on the
- 5 property values as a result of wind farms because
- 6 of the lack of view and lack of proximity.
- 7 Q What about the methodology used in the REPP
- 8 report, do you feel like it's sound, does it meet
- 9 with USPAP?
- 10 A Just the fact that it's not using
- 11 information that is relevant to the question at
- 12 hand, you know, the impact on property values, any
- 13 statistical analysis of useless information ends in
- 14 an useless results.
- 15 Q Let's talk about appendix number eight and
- 16 appendix number nine. Were either of those studies
- 17 done in Illinois?
- 18 A No, they were not.
- 19 Q Were either of those studies done
- 20 specifically for the Rail Splitter Project?
- 21 A They were not.
- Q Do you recall when the study or those
- 23 studies were performed?
- 24 A Appendix eight, the Grover study, I believe

- 1 2002, and then the new study in August, 2006.
- 2 Q Do we have any more information today than
- 3 what was available in 2006 with regard to property
- 4 values and the effects of wind farms?
- 5 A Yes, we do. It's still an area that needs
- 6 considerable study and really should be funded to
- 7 be done in a very objective and empirical manner,
- 8 but it might take some time because, frankly, a lot
- 9 of the plots immediately adjacent to these
- 10 facilities just don't sell, they get pulled off the
- 11 market, or an example we are going to go through in
- 12 a few minutes, sits on the market for nearly three
- 13 years prior to selling at a discounted price.
- 14 Q Now, you mentioned before that this isn't
- 15 your first wind farm that you have been involved
- 16 with, correct?
- 17 A That's correct.
- 18 Q When you first were asked to do a property
- 19 value study with respect to a wind farm, what kind
- 20 of information was available at that time?
- 21 A Well, really just literature and
- 22 information like this REPP report.

- Q Was there much in the way of sales out there that you could look at and compare?
  - ALLIANCE REPORTING SERVICE 6746 NORTH FROSTWOOD PARKWAY, PEORIA, ILLINOIS 61615

- 1 A There really wasn't much. There were some
- 2 sales that occurred before or during the planning
- 3 stages of wind farm facilities, but the ones that
- 4 are most relevant really reflect what property
- 5 value trends are once the project is constructed,
- 6 not when it's merely proposed or there is an
- 7 application pending, such as this matter.
- 8 Q Is there more information available today?
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q And why is that?
- 11 A Well, passage of time, and it has, the
- 12 market is starting to catch up with the actual
- 13 impact of these facilities.
- 14 Q And specifically, are you referring to any
- 15 wind project?

- 16 A I am referring to the Mendota Hills project
- 17 in Lee County.
- 18 Q Is that one of the older wind projects here
- 19 in Illinois?
- 20 A Yes, it is.
- 21 Q So it makes sense that over time you would
- 22 have a little more data there since they have been
- 23 there a little bit longer; is that right?
- 24 A Yes, that's correct.

- 2 respect to wind farm effects on property values
- 3 that we haven't talked about?
- 4 A Well, I have reviewed a, in the past some
- 5 information about an assessor's sale ratio study in
- 6 Wisconsin.
- 7 Q What did that study show?
- 8 A Well, it showed property sales were,

- 9 actually adjacent to an existing wind farm there,
- 10 were a significant percentage lower, 15 to 20
- 11 percent lower, if I remember correctly, or maybe as
- 12 high as 27 percent in closer proximity to what the
- 13 baseline or assessed values were, as differentiated
- 14 from the other properties in that county that were
- 15 selling at much closer to, you know, a 1.0 factor
- 16 to the assessment ratio.
- 17 Q Does the public's perception of a negative
- 18 trait or a perceived negative trait with respect to
- 19 something like a wind farm have an effect on
- 20 property values?
- 21 A Well, it certainly can, if it's a
- 22 perception that sticks or if there is an aversion
- 23 to selling -- or excuse me -- to buying properties
- 24 based on unknowns and fears and lack of guarantees

119

- 2 is the fear, and those kind of perceptions can
- 3 certainly be a factor in the buy/sell decision.
- 4 Q You have heard some testimony and talk
- 5 today about health issues, environmental issues, et
- 6 cetera, are those the type of issues that can have
- 7 a negative effect on property values?
- 8 A To the extent that people react to them by
- 9 selling out lower or not buying at all or holding
- 10 out for a discounted price, yes, it certainly can
- 11 be a factor.
- 12 Q In your research have you found that there
- 13 is a significant portion of the general public that
- 14 has a general negative perception of wind farms or
- 15 property around wind farms?
- 16 A Not just in my research, but also in the
- 17 research cited in appendixes eight and nine. There
- 18 is a variety of studies referenced in those two
- 19 appendixes that cite various surveys of communities
- 20 and assessor's offices, and so forth, and there are
- 21 several references to peoples' perceptions along
- 22 that line, yes.
- Q All right, let's talk about the appraisal
- 24 that you did. There is a map of the properties and

- 1 wind turbines, did you go out and look at any of
- 2 these properties?
- 3 A I went out and looked at all of them up and
- 4 down all the roads in that immediate area, and
- 5 specifically stopped and looked at each of the
- 6 properties that are your clients.
- 7 Q What was the next step then in your
- 8 appraisal?
- 9 A Well, do you want me to go through them
- 10 real quick? If you would prefer that I not refer
- 11 to them by name. This is some of the typical homes
- 12 in this particular area. If you want to scan
- 13 through them. And what I did -- if you want to
- 14 keep scanning through them.
- 15 Q Just a minute here.
- 16 A The first photo that was on the prior
- 17 screen was the Taylor residence, and that's a view
- 18 that also picks up -- that's a view northwest and
- 19 from the various vantage points on the property I
- 20 looked at where the wind towers were proposed.

- 21 And I was able to determine, you know, on
- 22 that property that there is going to be various
- 23 vantage points from within the plot that the wind
- 24 turbines would be visible in fairly close

121

1 proximity.

- 2 That photo came up kind of dark, but that's
- 3 another view of the Taylor residence. With a view
- 4 east, northeast towards the location of the nearest
- 5 wind turbine.
- 6 And the next photograph is a view southeast
- 7 from the Taylor residence. I apologize for the
- 8 quality of these photos.
- 9 Q Well, they didn't copy very well.
- 10 Now let me ask you a question about this
- 11 picture. Does this depict where a wind turbine
- 12 will be as part of the plan, or according to the
- 13 plan a wind turbine is going to be placed somewhere http://webmail.omnilec.com/service/home/~/?id=24573&part=3&auth=co&disp=i 5/8/2008

- 14 in this picture?
- 15 A Yes. From the best of my recollection, it
- 16 will be behind that stand of trees that are
- 17 standing in the side yard, the easterly side yard
- 18 of the Taylor residence.
- 19 Q And will that tower be visible over that
- 20 stand of trees?
- 21 A I believe it's going to be. It will be 389
- 22 feet tall to the tip of the blade, and you have to
- 23 be standing right up next to the trees for that to
- 24 provide any effect of screening.

- 1 Q I think you said this was kind of looking
- 2 in the opposite direction or to the south?
- 3 A South, southeast, yes, towards the location
- 4 of another wind farm turbine. And there the
- 5 topography kind of slopes down, and then in the far
- 6 background of the photo, further back up on the http://webmail.omnilec.com/service/home/~/?id=24573&part=3&auth=co&disp=i

- 7 ridge and pretty much centered in that photo, what
- 8 I attempted to do is show using the map or
- 9 orientation where that wind turbine was being
- 10 proposed. It's clearly an unobstructed view, not
- 11 even any on site trees or bushes or anything that
- 12 would shield the view.
- 13 Q And is this the look out of the Taylor home 14 basically?
- 15 A From the front yard, yes. The view
- 16 southeast from the front side yard.
- The next photo, that just shows a testing
- 18 tower I believe it is adjacent to the Taylor
- 19 property just south of the location of that wind
- 20 proposed turbine to the east of the Taylor
- 21 residence.
- But where that's located, along the north
- 23 side of Boynton Road again, is just south of where
- 24 the stake is which as I understand it is where the

- 1 turbine is proposed to be constructed.
- 2 Q And the next photograph, it's much better
- 3 on the paper than on the screen, but that's the
- 4 Walter residence on the north side of Litwiller
- 5 Road between Townhall and Bethel.
- 6 And the next photograph, if we could, is
- 7 the Maurer residence on the south side of Litwiller
- 8 Road between Bethel and the Hopedale. That one has
- 9 perhaps the best opportunity to screen the view
- 10 because of the on-site mature trees. And to the
- 11 southwest I believe is where the nearest turbine is
- 12 going to be located, but again still in fairly
- 13 close proximity.
- And if we go to the next photograph, it
- 15 appears to be new construction on the Litwiller
- 16 residence property on the east side of Bethel Road
- 17 between Litwiller and Boynton.
- The following photograph is another
- 19 representation of that new residence on the right
- 20 and the shop building on the left.
- The following photograph is the original,
- 22 what I believe to be the original Litwiller
- 23 residence. All located, you know, right in a row
- 24 on the east side of the road.

Π

- Can we go back just for a second, Mike, and point on the map -- this is the Litwiller property right here, correct?
- 4 A Where it's pointing, yes.
- Q And that property is surrounded by a number 6 of turbines; is that right?
- A North, northeast, several to the west and 8 northwest, and to the south also, yes.
- 9 Q Is that terrain there fairly flat?
- 10 A It was pretty flat, yes. It's certainly
- 11 not enough topographic relief to provide any effect 12 of screening.
- Q And that again is the Litwiller home; is 14 that correct?
- 15 A That's correct, the new construction.
- 16 Q So could you tell from the angle that you
- 17 were taking the pictures where the turbine would be
- 18 according to the map?

- 19 A Well, that is a view east, slightly
- 20 southeast, of that residence, so basically every
- 21 point on the compass.
- Q okay.
- 23 A And that photograph is a view southeast.
- Q Now I think we're caught up.

- 1 A And I'm not sure I can pronounce this name
- 2 correctly, the Egli residence on Hopedale Road
- 3 between Boynton and Armstrong, a nice brick ranch,
- 4 which is again in pretty close proximity and
- 5 surrounded by, within the footprint of the project
- 6 area.
- 7 This is the Bradshaw residence on the north
- 8 side of Armstrong Road between Bethel and
- 9 Hopedale.
- 10 Q Again the turbines would be visible from
- 11 the property?

- 12 A Yes. If I recall correctly, that
- 13 particular residence, there is turbines proposed
- 14 just to the left in the photo, so that would be to
- 15 the north and northeast. And I would have to look
- 16 at the map to refresh my recollection about any
- 17 others around it.
- 18 Q Let's go through these and then we will
- 19 come back to the map.
- 20 A Okay.
- There is another walters residence on the
- 22 west side of Stagecoach, south of Armstrong, kind
- 23 of an angle road that extends south from
- 24 Armstrong.

- 1 And there we're getting into one of the
- 2 Mendota Hills properties.
- Q Look at the various homes and tell us --
- 4 this is the Taylor residence. Is that turbine http://webmail.omnilec.com/service/home/~/?id=24573&part=3&auth=co&disp=i

- 5 there that's B16-4-1 the turbine that's behind the
- 6 trees; is that correct?
- 7 A Correct.
- 8 Q And the other one, that's B21-2-1 is off in
- 9 the field?
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 Q And there is another one there that looks
- 12 like B22-1-2, would that also be observable from
- 13 the Taylor property?
- 14 A Yes.
- 15 Q It has to stick up higher than the ridge
- 16 line in order to catch the eye?
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 Q How about B15-3-1?
- 19 A It depends on the height of the turbines.
- Q This is the Bradshaws and the Walters, all
- 21 the turbines are to what direction?
- 22 A East, southeast.
- Q Will all these turbines be visible from the
- 24 Bradshaw and Walters residence?

- 1 A I believe so. There is more to the north.
- 2 I believe I may have cropped that a little short.
- 3 Q It looks like the Egli home would have a
- 4 nice view of about seven or eight turbines, maybe
- 5 ten; does that sound right?
- 6 A Yes, quite a few of them, west, southeast.
- 7 east, southeast.
- 8 Q You did some comparison studies; is that
- 9 right?
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 Q Tell us about the comparison homes that you
- 12 are referencing here in the report.
- 13 A I used this in the previous zoning matter
- 14 for a proposed wind farm. Going forward -- back up
- 15 one, Chris. There you go. That's a home at 965
- 16 Bradshaw Road. That's the Paw Paw School
- 17 District. That's the west side of 39. And that's
- 18 just a view south down Big Hamm Road, and you can
- 19 see one of the turbines.
- And I would point out that those are, from
- 21 memory, about 1250 feet in height from the base to
- 22 the tip of the rotar, so you can kind of imagine
- 23 the scale with adding about 50 percent of that to

- 1 Tazewell.
- 2 If you go to the next photograph, hopefully
- 3 it's a better view of that residence.
- 4 Q Before we move, is this a turbine right
- 5 here? (Indicating).
- 6 A Yes, it is.
- 7 Q And is that one right there?
- 8 (Indicating).
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q And another one? (Indicating).
- 11 A Yes.
- 12 Q How about right here? (Indicating).
- 13 A I believe it is, yes.
- 14 Q How about right here? (Indicating).
- 15 A There is quite a few of them, which that
- 16 next photograph also picks up one behind it.

- 17 Q (Indicating).
- 18 A Yes. And the following photograph gives
- 19 you a little bit more of the orientation along the
- 20 south lot line, and what the house looks like.
- 21 It's kind of in a field of wind farm turbines.
- Q What do you know about this house, Mike?
- 23 A I inserted that slide in my report, a basic
- 24 description of the property. It was new

- 1 construction completed in the fall of '05. It's a
- 2 three bedroom, two bath home on a five acre lot,
- 3 carved out, a nice lot that had a couple of mature
- 4 trees on it.
- The house has hardwood floors in the living
- 6 room and dining room, and a fire place, sliding
- 7 glass doors. The plaster bedroom has a private
- 8 master bath and shower and whirlpool tub. Split
- 9 floor plan and a full basement, and attached http://webmail.omnilec.com/service/home/~/?id=24573&part=3&auth=co&disp=i

- 10 two-car car garage. Also an out building that is
- 11 52 by 48 with water and electric. It's the Paw Paw
- 12 School District.
- And upon completion of construction it was
- 14 listed in the fall of 2005. It was listed at a
- 15 price of 329 thousand. That is about right for
- 16 what it is. Nice size lot, private master
- 17 bathroom. It compares favorably in the market to
- 18 many of the existing homes in the area, you know,
- 19 well kept homes, homes that have updated features
- 20 by today's standards, and many of those homes were
- 21 listed and selling in the high 200s.
- 22 At the point in time this was on the market
- 23 there was very little in the way of new
- 24 construction, but I judge that to be a fairly

- 1 reasonable asking price. But like with most, you
- 2 expect the list price to come down a little bit to

- 3 result in a sale.
- 4 This property sat on the market for about
- 5 840 days, having gone through several different
- 6 realtors before a sale was finally accomplished at
- 7 275 thousand dollars. 28 months, 840 days is --
- 8 let me put it this way, it is a marketing time that
- 9 a relocation company just would absolutely not deal
- 10 with at all.
- If somebody owned that property and sought
- 12 to bring it into a relocation program, they see
- 13 market value at typical marketing times and if a
- 14 property is in a market location or a particular
- 15 setting where the marketing times are longer, the
- 16 relocation companies charge an appraiser that's
- 17 doing such a relo their appraisal to determine the
- 18 value assuming a reasonable marketing time, and
- 19 they usually use in the neighborhood of 60 days
- 20 perhaps to as long as 90 days.
- I found in Lee County at the time this
- 22 property was originally listed outside the Mendota
- 23 Hills project area that the typical marketing times
- 24 were over a hundred days, so even that wouldn't

- 1 meet the relo standards, but even the other
- 2 properties, just in homes located in close
- 3 proximity to Mendota Hills, were also experiencing
- 4 marketing times in excess of 300 days, 400 days as
- 5 this one, the end result was 840 days, and a
- 6 discount from the original asking price of just
- 7 under 17 percent or 55 thousand dollars.
- 8 But a typical price reduction for new
- 9 construction at that point in time, and normally
- 10 that was kind of the peek of the market when
- 11 everybody was buying properties with that
- 12 irrational exuberance as we are hearing about now
- 13 with the mortgage companies busting and so forth.
- 14 But new construction is often selling at list
- 15 price, and in some cases, you know, even a
- 16 competitive bidding prices higher than list price,
- 17 but I'm assuming for the sake of this study that a
- 18 normal reduction would be in the neighborhood of
- 19 five percent under normal market conditions from
- 20 list price. And these still shows a discount that
- 21 can be attributed to the wind farm of about 11 and

- 22 a half percent.
- Now, again, that's new construction and the
- 24 builder had a pretty high basis in it compared to

Π

- 1 existing homes, which may have been owned for five,
- 2 ten, twenty years or longer and just do not have
- 3 enough flexibility. The end result was over, you
- 4 know, 800 days in marketing time and a much higher
- 5 than normal discount to the sale price even after
- 6 that time.
- 7 Q Did you learn since you prepared this
- 8 report any additional information with regard to
- 9 the home sales in Lee County?
- 10 A Well, in Lee County what I did learn was
- 11 there was, for many of the homeowner's in close
- 12 proximity to the Mendota Hills facility, that when
- 13 the new assessment came out they brought in
- 14 considerable testimony to the Board of Review from http://webmail.omnilec.com/service/home/~/?id=24573&part=3&auth=co&disp=i

And that's part of the problem with doing a 20 full blown empirical study in a location such as 21 this, that there just is not a large amount of 22 relevant data. But, that in itself is data in a 23 sense, if you look at it from a, you know, sort of 24 an appraisal perspective or investor perspective,

ALLIANCE REPORTING SERVICE 6746 NORTH FROSTWOOD PARKWAY, PEORIA, ILLINOIS 61615

- 1 just the absence of sales is evidence in itself
- 2 that there is a considerably smaller demand or
- 3 ability to sell properties in the face of these
- 4 wind farms.
- 5 Q What did you learn with respect to the
- 6 amount of time these properties were listed for
- 7 sale? You talked about them coming into the tax

- 8 assessor to try to get a reduction in the amount of
- 9 appraised value, what did you find out about the
- 10 time these places had been for sale?
- 11 A Again, time and time again they were
- 12 citing, listing the same thing, they had expired,
- 13 had been pulled off the market because there was
- 14 nobody even coming to look at the properties, that
- 15 there was just a dearth of interest in properties,
- 16 residential properties that were located in close
- 17 proximity to these turbine facilities.
- 18 Q Okay, Mike, did you come to some
- 19 conclusions with regard to the effect on property
- 20 surrounding the proposed Rail Splitter site?
- 21 A I did. I did a fairly generic analysis
- 22 here just to show what the objectors, just for the
- 23 objectors, your clients. This doesn't even begin
- 24 to cover all the homes that would actually be

- 1 impacted but -- excuse me -- with seven objector
- 2 homes within the footprint, and assuming an average
- 3 value of 275 thousand dollars for these existing
- 4 homes, and as I mentioned earlier the existing
- 5 homes I would expect them to be discounted deeper
- 6 than the new construction because, frankly, most
- 7 buyers in the market will pay a higher price for
- 8 new construction compared to existing homes. The
- 9 existing homes should sell and can sell and do
- 10 sell, but usually they're not as high up on the
- 11 list as new construction, so using a somewhat
- 12 higher discount rate of 20 to 30 percent for
- 13 existing homes, that would vary depending on how
- 14 many turbines are nearby, how close they are, and
- 15 then the individual perceptions, I'm just using an
- 16 average of 25 percent diminution factor or a loss
- 17 of value, and only counting seven objectors' homes,
- 18 there is nearly half a million dollars in
- 19 reasonably certain value loss if every one of them
- 20 tried to sell. They would be looking at pretty
- 21 significant discounts to get out of those
- 22 properties and back into a more serene or rural
- 23 setting.
- Q I think we've touched on these, Mike. Is

- 1 there anything else you want to add regarding the
- 2 basis of your opinions that we haven't talked
- 3 about?
- 4 A Well, there is a lot of property that
- 5 raises concern for neighboring owners, but usually
- 6 those properties are down the street and the
- 7 operations are being conducted with completely
- 8 enclosed buildings. A wind farm is really unique
- 9 in that regard, you cannot conduct the operations
- 10 within an enclosed building, or they're not going
- 11 to work. You would have to build a 40 story
- 12 building to run the operation if you would.
- They take up tremendous amounts of land or
- 14 cast a shadow on the view shed, if you will, of
- 15 thousands of acres as opposed to much smaller land
- 16 areas for even a big project. A couple hundred
- 17 acres would be considered a big project in most
- 18 cases. I think I counted the section and it's 640
- 19 acres. I think I counted 64 hundred acres just in

- 20 Tazewell County that will have at least one turbine
- 21 located in it and otherwise be in the shadow.
- These wind farm turbines surround the
- 23 properties, and certainly there are some lease
- 24 payments being made, or at least agreements being

- 1 entered into to pay the participating property
- 2 owners and it probably will be a benefit to those
- 3 particular properties and their value as long as
- 4 they're passing on the increase in utility taxes to
- 5 the utility company. But I would add, the benefit
- 6 to those applicants and the energy company
- 7 themselves is really at the expense, and not just
- 8 from an aesthetic standpoint but a immeasurable
- 9 sense, on the property values.
- 10 As a real estate appraiser, having worked
- 11 on many litigation sites, I found that not to meet
- 12 the standards for most the zoning changes of real http://webmail.omnilec.com/service/home/~/?id=24573&part=3&auth=co&disp=i

- 13 estate when it came at the expense of surrounding 14 owners.
- 15 Q Mike, are you familiar with the consent of 16 a guaranteed property -- excuse me -- I need one of 17 those glasses of water, a property value assurance 18 plan?
- A I worked at a few of them and designed one very recently for a project that raised a lot of concerns out in Kendall County. What a property value assurance plan is is a mechanism that any concerned property owners can elect to sign up for, and depending on how it's designed and there is a

137

5/8/2008

1 variety of ways they can be designed, where the

Π

- 2 applicant for the land use in question essentially
- 3 pays for a couple of appraisals of the property to
- 4 set a baseline, a value for the property. And then
- 5 depending on the circumstances and how it might or http://webmail.omnilec.com/service/home/~/?id=24573&part=3&auth=co&disp=i

- 6 should be, you know, modified or customized to fit
- 7 the circumstance it provides for mechanisms to pay
- 8 property owners any loss in values should they sell
- 9 at something lower than the appraised value. And
- 10 there really should be a mechanism to adjust the
- 11 value up in line with the rest of the market area,
- 12 in this case, you know, that part of Tazewell
- 13 County that has no wind farm, you know, I mean if
- 14 this is approved.
- There is also property value assurance
- 16 plans that reflect -- oh, for example, there was a
- 17 wind farm application or project out in Kern County
- 18 in California, which is the Bakersfield area, where
- 19 a number of area residents objected and the wind
- 20 farm company, the energy company, just bought those
- 21 homes out entirely and allowed those people to
- 22 relocate without having to first experience a few
- 23 years of whatever the worst fears might be or
- 24 whatever the reality of the wind farms would be for

- 1 them.
- 2 Q I'm sorry, go ahead.
- 3 A Those property value assurance plans can be
- 4 customized to fit the circumstances, and I
- 5 certainly would be willing to work with the Board
- 6 if you wanted to approve this project with a
- 7 condition to that effect. I do have some
- 8 experience with that.
- 9 I am not trying to get another job, but
- 10 part of the reason that I am here is there is
- 11 legitimate concern, this is the biggest investment
- 12 a lot of people make, their homes.
- 13 Q What situations have you seen where
- 14 property value assurance plans have been agreed to?
- 15 A Well, I've seen it with respect to a few
- 16 different sanitary landfills. I've seen it most
- 17 recently with respect to a limestone quarry that
- 18 was first denied all through the zoning process in
- 19 Kendall County and then received approval through
- 20 the court process, and the applicant, to their
- 21 credit, in that matter even though the court didn't
- 22 require it still was willing to buy the property
- 23 value assurance plan. They put their money where
- 24 their mouth was when they assured the residents and http://webmail.omnilec.com/service/home/~/?id=24573&part=3&auth=co&disp=i 5,

- 1 the County Board that their property values aren't
- 2 going to go down, they're backing it up.
- 3 Q So in this case where the Application and
- 4 Horizon Wind Energy says that property values won't
- 5 go down, if there is a property value assurance
- 6 plan agreed to, what would the risk be to Horizon
- 7 if they're right?
- 8 A If they're right, The risk would really be
- 9 some fairly nominal administration time and expense
- 10 to retain a couple of local appraisers to establish
- 11 baseline values and then monitor, a little
- 12 communication with the neighbors, which I would
- 13 think they would want to do anyhow just as part of
- 14 a good neighbor policy, keep them apprised of
- 15 what's going on with any issues of concern.
- 16 Q And if Horizon is wrong and there is no
- 17 property value assurance plan, what is the risk to http://webmail.omnilec.com/service/home/ $\sim$ /?id=24573&part=3&auth=co&disp=i

- 18 the landowners surrounding the project that didn't
- 19 enter into one of the thousand dollar a year
- 20 agreements or didn't enter into one of the five
- 21 hundred dollar per turbine agreements to be on
- 22 their property?

- 23 A Well, in my estimation, the risk to them is
- 24 either the inability to sell their homes or having

ALLIANCE REPORTING SERVICE 6746 NORTH FROSTWOOD PARKWAY, PEORIA, ILLINOIS 61615

- 1 to sell at a fairly significant discount, a
- 2 substantial loss in value, in order to relocate out
- 3 of such a project area.
- In fact, I will take it a step further. If
- 5 the supply of affected homes increases, that could
- 6 really exacerbate the effect of this. You know,
- 7 all you need is 20 properties sitting on the market
- 8 on wind farm locations and the prices are really
- 9 going to plummet.
- $10~\rm Q$  Is there such a plan in the Application http://webmail.omnilec.com/service/home/~/?id=24573&part=3&auth=co&disp=i

- 11 filed by Horizon Energy?
- 12 A No, there isn't.
- 13 Q Would this proposed plan then help the
- 14 property values or the assure the property value of
- 15 the residents who own land around the property?
- 16 A If it's well drafted it could very well be
- 17 a valuable tool for as much the area residents, but
- 18 for the company. You can buy a lot of good will
- 19 with that kind of assurance.
- 20 Q One second, please.
- MR. SPANOS: I have no more questions for
- 22 this witness. I would like the point out to the
- 23 Board that we have attached two sample property
- 24 value assurance plans under tab 32, the first

141

- 1 couple of documents under tab 32.
- Thank you.

3 MR. MCCANN: Before we start any cross http://webmail.omnilec.com/service/home/~/?id=24573&part=3&auth=co&disp=i

- 4 examination, would you mind if I grab a cup of
- 5 water?
- 6 MR. SPANOS: Could we take another
- 7 ten-minute break before we get started?
- 8 CHAIRMAN TOEVS: Yes, let's take ten
- 9 minutes. Does the Board move to take a ten-minute
- 10 recess?
- MR. MILES: How long are we going to go
- 12 tonight? We would like to get our cross done in
- 13 one cross examination, either tonight or come back
- 14 and make sure we get it done.
- 15 CHAIRMAN TOEVS: You got one more expert
- 16 witness?
- MR. SPANOS: Well, that's the ruling.
- 18 That's all I have left. Yes, sir.
- 19 CHAIRMAN TOEVS: I would estimate that we
- 20 might adjourn at 10 or 10:15.
- MR. SPANOS: I would agree to that. I
- 22 think Mr. McCann would not like to have to come
- 23 back the second time, if we can do him tonight and
- 24 not take a break. If that helps getting him done,

- 1 let's go. Whatever.
- 2 CHAIRMAN TOEVS: We will just go?
- MR. MILES: Let's take a short break and
- 4 let him get a drink.
- 5 (Whereupon a short break was taken).
- 6 CHAIRMAN TOEVS: Okay ZBA, do you got any
- 7 questions?
- 8 MR. LARSON: I heard the term when we
- 9 started that there was a study behind tab eight and
- 10 nine. I think I heard that.
- MR. SPANOS: I'm sorry, it's appendix eight
- 12 and nine in the Application is what we're referring
- 13 to.
- MR. LARSON: I thought we were talking
- 15 about the tabs in your handout.
- MR. SPANOS: I didn't include anything that
- 17 was not already in the Application.
- 18 MR. LARSON: How many data points did you
- 19 have in this, your analysis of the -- was it --
- 20 what was the location where this piece of property
- 21 was located?
- MR. MCCANN: On Bingham Road.

MR. LARSON: In Steward, Illinois. What 24 site was that?

ALLIANCE REPORTING SERVICE 6746 NORTH FROSTWOOD PARKWAY, PEORIA, ILLINOIS 61615

- 1 MR. MCCANN: That's Mendota Hills Wind
- 2 Farm.
- MR. LARSON: From how many data points did
- 4 you have that you used to come to your conclusion
- 5 on your 4 points here of the projected value
- 6 diminution.
- 7 MR. MCCANN: I wish that was a simple
- 8 answer, but it's really one closed sale.
- 9 MR. LARSON: This example --
- MR. MCCANN: Yes, but there are quite a few
- 11 properties that have been pulled off the market and
- 12 had extensive marketing times. And why that's
- 13 relevant is because as time goes on, the property
- 14 gets stale on the market, and the price drops.
- 15 It's a typical situation of the real estate plot.

- 16 MR. LARSON: Was this piece of property
- 17 built by an individual to live in, he lived in
- 18 during this time, or was it a spec home?
- MR. MCCANN: It was a spec home.
- MR. LARSON: So it laid dormant, empty,
- 21 during that time period?
- MR. MCCANN: As far as I know, yes, sir, it
- 23 did.
- MR. LARSON: Would you consider this maybe

- 1 a worst case scenario at 840 days? That sounds
- 2 like a long time.
- MR. MCCANN: It is a very long time and
- 4 being that it's new construction, I would expect
- 5 that some homes, if they stayed on the market
- 6 rather than being pulled off the market, could even
- 7 exceed that.
- 8 MR. LARSON: And in this example, the value http://webmail.omnilec.com/service/home/~/?id=24573&part=3&auth=co&disp=i 5/8/2008

- 9 loss was 16.6 percent?
- 10 MR. MCCANN: Right.
- MR. LARSON: How did you get to the 25
- 12 percent average loss of value in your conclusion?
- MR. MCCANN: Well, there is a certain
- 14 amount of judgment that goes into that based on
- 15 other situations where you have problematic
- 16 properties and the type of discounts that can
- 17 typically be realized or are typically realized
- 18 when a property losses its general appeal on the
- 19 market. And that could be for a number of reasons,
- 20 you know, ranging from, like I mentioned the
- 21 Braidwood situation, and I don't mean to compare
- 22 the presence of a wind farm with contamination of
- 23 groundwater, but in some sense it is comparable,
- 24 the market aversion to buying and living in a house

145

- 2 effect.
- 3 MR. LARSON: But you had no analytical data
- 4 behind that, it was an estimate, the 25 percent?
- MR. MCCANN: It's an estimate, yes, it's
- 6 not an absolute fact.
- 7 MR. LARSON: I'm curious, do any of your
- 8 appraisals add or detract value based on school
- 9 districts that they're in?
- MR. MCCANN: Well, if I'm appraising a
- 11 property in one school district and using a comp
- 12 from another, I very well might make an adjustment
- 13 if the market shows a premium is being paid in one
- 14 of the school districts versus the other, yes, that
- 15 can be an important factor in residential
- 16 properties.
- MR. LARSON: What type of differentiations
- 18 might there be?
- MR. MCCANN: Well, I can tell you this, in
- 20 Aurora, Illinois, for example, there is part of it,
- 21 a newer part of town, that is in the Naperville
- 22 School District which is considered much more
- 23 desirable, and you go literally across the street
- 24 from the Naperville School District to the Aurora

- 1 School District and you might see property values
- 2 50 thousand, 75 thousand lower, significant
- 3 discount from what they are in the Naperville
- 4 School District.
- MR. LARSON: So in those is there a linkage
- 6 or a correlation between the value of a school
- 7 district and its revenue, revenue being -- the tax
- 8 revenue that is supporting those schools?
- 9 MR. MCCANN: Oh, I think I understand your
- 10 question. If the school has more money to spend,
- 11 does it enhance the quality of the market
- 12 perception?
- MR. LARSON: Right.
- MR. MCCANN: I think the simple answer is
- 15 yes, but there are certainly some examples that I'm
- 16 aware of where school districts spend money
- 17 frivolously, and just raising more tax revenue is
- 18 not necessarily translated into a better education
- 19 or increased property values. And one of the city
- 20 --

- MR. LARSON: I understand there is a lot of
- 22 variables, but do you recognize or see in your work
- 23 that school districts that are well funded, tend to
- 24 have higher property values than school districts

147

1 that do not have as much?

- 2 MR. MCCANN: I would have to say yes.
- 3 MR. LARSON: So if this application were to
- 4 go through and tax revenues were increased, hence
- 5 the schools were to benefit from that, that could
- 6 have a positive effect on property values?
- 7 MR. MCCANN: County wide or district wide I
- 8 would say so, but within the project area itself, I
- 9 don't see that, no.
- MR. LARSON: So your experience doesn't see
- 11 that kind of impact, is that what you're saying?
- MR. MCCANN: I'm saying with a higher
- 13 funding for the school district, as a general rule, http://webmail.omnilec.com/service/home/~/?id=24573&part=3&auth=co&disp=i 5/8/2008

- 14 property values I would expect them to benefit from
- 15 that. District wide or county wide, within the
- 16 project area itself, the dis-amenity or the
- 17 negative influence, the market conception is going
- 18 to over shadow the benefit of a better school
- 19 district, a better funded school district, because
- 20 there will still be other property in Tazewell
- 21 County outside of the footprint of this project
- 22 that they can go avail themselves of that better
- 23 school district.

24 MR. LARSON: Thank you.

ALLIANCE REPORTING SERVICE 6746 NORTH FROSTWOOD PARKWAY, PEORIA, ILLINOIS 61615

- 1 MR. MCCANN: Certainly.
- 2 CHAIRMAN TOEVS: Any other questions from
- 3 ZBA? Go ahead, Ken.
- 4 MR. KLOPFENSTEIN: I just want to make sure
- 5 that I understand the property in question it's new
- 6 construction?

- 7 MR, MCCANN: Yes.
- 8 MR. KLOPFENSTEIN: What year was it
- 9 constructed?
- MR. MCCANN: Completed in the fall of
- 11 2005.
- MR. KLOPFENSTEIN: And was that before or
- 13 after the wind farm was constructed?
- MR. MCCANN: After.
- MR. KLOPFENSTEIN: After the wind farm was
- 16 constructed?
- MR. MCCANN: Yes.
- 18 MR. KLOPFENSTEIN: So unlike the current
- 19 situation where the homes already exist and a wind
- 20 farm is going to be constructed around the homes,
- 21 this was a construction in an area where the wind
- 22 farm already existed; is that correct?
- MR. MCCANN: Well, yes, but I do recall
- 24 speaking with the realtor that had the second

- 1 listing on this house, and my recollection is that
- 2 she said that the gentleman had bought the lot
- 3 before the wind farm was completed, so it was
- 4 really just the natural conclusion of his original
- 5 plans.
- 6 MR. KLOPFENSTEIN: Thank you.
- 7 CHAIRMAN TOEVS: Any other questions from
- 8 ZBA? Okay, Mr. Miles.
- 9 MR. MILES: Mr. Lasco.
- MR. LASCO: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
- 11 Q Mr. McCann, could you tell us when you were
- 12 retained for this engagement, to look at this Rail
- 13 Splitter proposal?
- 14 A I believe it was about a week ago.
- 15 Q And how much time have you spent on this
- 16 engagement since you were retained?
- 17 A About three solid days.
- 18 Q And that's when you did the things you
- 19 described, you drove around the area, you stopped
- 20 at all the homes, you took the pictures, and
- 21 figured out where the turbines were going to be and
- 22 so on?
- 23 A Yes.
- Q Did you go up to Paw Paw or the Mendota

- 1 area in those three days?
- 2 A Yes, I did.
- 3 Q And did you personally look at this 965
- 4 Bingham Road home when you were up there?
- 5 A Yes, I did.
- 6 Q What document are you referring to as the
- 7 REPP report, R-E-P-P, report?
- 8 A (Indicating).
- 9 Q Is that something that you found in
- 10 Horizon's Application?
- 11 A No. I found it referenced in Horizon's
- 12 Application.
- 13 Q Are you aware of a published study of the
- 14 effects of a wind farm, wind farms on property
- 15 values that you think is better than the REPP
- 16 study?
- 17 A Well, I've read synopses or summaries of a
- 18 variety of wind farm studies, including assessor http://webmail.omnilec.com/service/home/~/?id=24573&part=3&auth=co&disp=i

- 19 surveys, and one from the Royal Institute of
- 20 Chartered Surveyors that found the negative
- 21 impacts. I found another study referred to that
- 22 the author was using high voltage transmission
- 23 towers as a comparison that he felt was valid,
- 24 apparently, because of the height of the structures

- 1 and the nature of the use.
- 2 And even though that was a static use or
- 3 passive use, if you will, compared to an active use
- 4 with spinning blades, he still found a ten percent
- 5 value diminution in close proximity to the high
- 6 voltage towers.
- 7 There is a number of studies cited in
- 8 appendix eight and nine, some pro and some con,
- 9 mixed results really. And I would point out that
- 10 the assessor surveys, while one of these studies in
- 11 the application seems to hang their hat pretty http://webmail.omnilec.com/service/home/ $\sim$ /?id=24573&part=3&auth=co&disp=i

- 12 heavily on this assessor survey because I think the
- 13 quote is something along the lines that the
- 14 assessors are required to be objective. But it
- 15 doesn't say assessors are not only supposed to find
- 16 market value but they're supposed to assess
- 17 properties uniformly. So they have another charge
- 18 that is really contrary to separating out
- 19 properties that have distinctives such as being in
- 20 the project footprint of a wind farm.
- 21 Q So my question was, are you aware of a
- 22 study of the effect of wind farms on property
- 23 values that you think is a better study than the
- 24 REPP study?

152

- 1 A Frankly I don't think there has been a
- 2 thorough study of a populated residential area
- 3 adjacent to such a facility, at least that I have
- 4 seen.

П

- I've seen a variety of studies and synopses of the studies that are, some are flawed, some are weak, but all in all it gives mixed results, and there is nothing that I've read that is convincing one as a real estate appraiser that there is any empirical evidence that shows that wind farms do
- 11 not cause value loss in the project area for 12 residential properties.
- Q So, are you aware of a study that you think 14 is a better study of the effect of property values, 15 wind farm property values, than the REPP studies?

  A Well, I think my study is better than the
- 17 REPP study, frankly, because it focuses on one
- 18 property in particular, it tells the whole story
- 19 from cradle to grave as opposed to using 25
- 20 thousand pieces of data for properties that don't
- 21 even have a view of the wind farms. And so, in
- 22 that case I would say one piece of data is better
- 23 than a large scale, you know, effort that doesn't
- 24 really use methodology that could result in finding

- 1 any impact.
- 2 Q One of the things you criticize about the
- 3 REPP report is that it didn't look at plots in
- 4 Illinois, right?
- 5 A That's correct, yes.
- 6 Q So it would be better, I take it your point
- 7 is it would be better to look into specific
- 8 properties in Illinois, right?
- 9 A Well, certainly every market can be a
- 10 little different, while values can be dropping in
- 11 San Francisco and Boston, for example, they can be
- 12 rising in Chicago, so different markets react
- 13 different ways at different times, and geographic
- 14 economy is something that's required under USPAP,
- 15 and applying a study that was prepared in a far
- 16 distant location or a variety of distant locations
- 17 and saying that there is, you know, compelling
- 18 evidence in this market area, it's just not
- 19 consistent with USPAP.
- Q So it would be better to look at Illinois?
- 21 A I believe so, yes.
- 22 Q Would -- I'm not familiar with USPAP. Does
- 23 USPAP have standards for the things an appraiser http://webmail.omnilec.com/service/home/~/?id=24573&part=3&auth=co&disp=i

24 should do if they're asked to appraise the value of

ALLIANCE REPORTING SERVICE 6746 NORTH FROSTWOOD PARKWAY, PEORIA, ILLINOIS 61615

- 1 a home?
- 2 A Well, it doesn't set up specific steps,
- 3 but, yes, if you can be more specific. I will be
- 4 happy to try to answer it.
- 5 Q Does one of the methods of appraising a
- 6 home look at comparable sales or the sale of other
- 7 homes that compare to it?
- 8 A Yes, it is.
- 9 Q And in order to do that you have to get
- 10 certain data about the homes to make a comparison?
- 11 A To the extent possible, yes.
- 12 Q And what kind of information would a
- 13 professional appraiser want to gather to make those
- 14 types of the comparisons?
- 15 A It depends on the question they're trying
- 16 to answer, and in this case I was trying to find http://webmail.omnilec.com/service/home/~/?id=24573&part=3&auth=co&disp=i

- 17 whether or not there was any value loss, and I
- 18 found one good case study example for a home that
- 19 was built right in the shadow of a number of
- 20 turbines, thus telling the story cradle to grave.
- 21 Q If you were doing -- I want to go back to
- 22 asking you about the compared sales method to value
- 23 property.
- 24 A We haven't talked about it yet, but I would

- 1 be happy to.
- 2 Q There are standard things you would do if
- 3 you were looking at the properties that were
- 4 comparable to each other, right?
- 5 A If you are looking at the tract home for
- 6 the decision you can see the sales, certainly that
- 7 is a method you would want to use.
- 8 Q You can also appraise homes that are not
- 9 identical in tract sales?

- 10 A Yes.
- 11 Q And the sales technique is to go out and
- 12 see if the home that you are looking at is, to find
- 13 homes that are substantially comparable to each
- 14 other?

- 15 A I didn't hear part of your question.
- 16 Q If you are trying to appraise a home that
- 17 is not in a tract subdivision of identical homes,
- 18 you need to go out and look for, using a comparable
- 19 tract sales technique, as a practicing appraiser,
- 20 you go out and look at homes that are comparable to
- 21 the home that you are trying to appraise?
- 22 A If I put a value on that home in particular
- 23 that is a step that I would follow, yes.
- 24 Q And that's what I mean, if you are trying

ALLIANCE REPORTING SERVICE 6746 NORTH FROSTWOOD PARKWAY, PEORIA, ILLINOIS 61615

- 1 to put a value on a home, and if you go and look at
- 2 homes that are comparable, you would look at http://webmail.omnilec.com/service/home/~/?id=24573&part=3&auth=co&disp=i

- 3 factors like how old the home is?
- 4 A Certainly.
- 5 Q How many feet it is?
- 6 A Size and condition, sure.
- 7 Q The quality of upkeep, the quality of the
- 8 landscaping, the style of the home, neighborhood,
- 9 things like that?
- 10 A Sure.
- 11 Q Anything else that should go on that list?
- 12 A I would be happy to answer your questions,
- 13 but it varies from site to site, house to house.
- 14 There is such a wide variety that, you know, I'm
- 15 sure we would like to go home tonight sometime.
- 16 Q Did you actually yourself do an appraisal
- 17 of the 965 Bingham Road home that you were talking
- 18 about in Paw Paw, Lee County?
- 19 A I did an analysis of the home, again, you
- 20 know, looking at it from cradle to grave, but I did
- 21 not put a market value opinion on that house for
- 22 any purpose such as going to the bank for a loan,
- 23 or for the sale to a perspective buyer, anything
- 24 like that.

- 1 Q So you have no opinion then whether the
- 2 original listing price of that home of 330 thousand
- 3 dollars was a fair market price, right?
- 4 A Well, I did do some analysis that confirmed
- 5 it was a reasonable price in light of what it was
- 6 and where it was, but for the wind farm.
- 7 Q If a bank asked you whether that was a
- 8 reasonable value on the basis of which to make a
- 9 loan, your answer would be you didn't have enough
- 10 information to tell them; is that right?
- 11 A No, that's not quite right because,
- 12 frankly, with brand new construction, lenders will
- 13 often look at the construction costs or the use of
- 14 a cost approach. And in this case, I was able to
- 15 learn that he had purchased the lot for I believe
- 16 it was 67 thousand dollars, and that it was just
- 17 under 18 hundred square feet, and I did a brief
- 18 cost approach on it using 125 dollars a square
- 19 foot. And frankly, what it showed was he sold it
- 20 for less than cost.
- 21 Q Did you gather any comparable sales http://webmail.omnilec.com/service/home/~/?id=24573&part=3&auth=co&disp=i

- 22 information with respect to that home?
- 23 A I did not have any comparable sale
- 24 information that would really be comparable to that

- 1 home, so no.
- Q Did you go inside the home and walk through
- 3 it and evaluate its condition and things like that?
- 4 A I did not. It was new construction.
- 5 Q Do you have any experience with appraising
- 6 homes in the Paw Paw or Lee County area for the
- 7 purpose of determining their value?
- 8 A I have evaluated some homes in Lee County
- 9 in the past, but not for individual market value
- 10 appraisals.
- 11 Q You have never done a market value
- 12 appraisal of a home in Lee County?
- 13 A That's correct.
- 14 Q How many homes, other than the 965 Bingham http://webmail.omnilec.com/service/home/~/?id=24573&part=3&auth=co&disp=i 5/8/2008

- 15 Road home, have been sold within the view shed of
- 16 that Mendota Wind Farm since the wind farm has been
- 17 built?
- 18 A I don't have a number on that. The MLS
- 19 listing was showing one expired listing after
- 20 another.
- 21 Q Did you find any other homes that have sold
- 22 within the view shed of that wind farm?
- 23 A I did, in a previous study I did. It was
- 24 either Stephenson or Ogle County, and again what I

- 1 was finding time and time again, it's made
- 2 reference in my report to having reviewed my prior
- 3 value studies, that's exactly what I was referring
- 4 to. And that is that the homes in the Paw Paw
- 5 area, or in the Mendota Hills area, were
- 6 experiencing extensive market times and lower sale
- 7 price and, you know, were generally a fair amount http://webmail.omnilec.com/service/home/~/?id=24573&part=3&auth=co&disp=i

- 8 lower. Showing market conditions that were
- 9 inferior to a location that didn't have a wind
- 10 farm.

- 11 Q So are you saying that you went there and
- 12 you looked for other properties that had sold but
- 13 you couldn't find any?
- 14 A More recently what I saw was a lot of
- 15 expired listings. In the past I had found the
- 16 properties on a broader basis, some within the view
- 17 shed, some not in the view shed, and you know,
- 18 again, showing average lower sale prices and longer
- 19 marketing times, but there -- that was more of a
- 20 broad approach, not terribly dissimilar to some of
- 21 the studies that actually use sale information or
- 22 market information as opposed to opinions.
- But, the best piece of evidence that I
- 24 found to date in several of these studies is

ALLIANCE REPORTING SERVICE 6746 NORTH FROSTWOOD PARKWAY, PEORIA, ILLINOIS 61615

- 1 Bingham Road plot.
- Q I'm sorry, maybe I misstated, but I didn't
- 3 get the answer as to whether you ever tried to
- 4 find, either in connection with this engagement or
- 5 some prior engagement, did you ever go and try to
- 6 identify homes that have been sold since that wind
- 7 farm was built?
- 8 A I think I did answer it, or I certainly was
- 9 trying to. Time and time again what I was finding
- 10 is when they were in close proximity to the Mendota
- 11 Hills facility, the listings were expired, that
- 12 they were pulling them off the market, that there
- 13 were no takers.
- 14 Q You went and you looked and you found no
- 15 homes had sold, is what you're saying?
- 16 A What I had found as far as the MLS listing,
- 17 yes.
- 18 Q So you looked for homes that had sold in
- 19 the view shed of that wind farm since it was built
- 20 and you couldn't find any, all you found was
- 21 expired listings?
- 22 A I found listings that had expired, that had
- 23 been on the market for --
- Q How many other homes did you specifically

- 1 look at the amount of time they spent on the
- 2 market, where the price was a discount off the list
- 3 price, where they ultimately have not sold besides
- 4 the 965 Bingham Road property?
- 5 A I have not found any other sales within the
- 6 immediate footprint of the view shed. You have to
- 7 be a little more specific because I did describe
- 8 the broader study that covered the area that
- 9 included Mendota Hills, many of which were in the
- 10 view shed as I defined it earlier, further out, but
- 11 not within that, you know, half mile, three/quarter
- 12 mile, not in the what I would call the more
- 13 immediate impact area.
- 14 Q So you think you need to look specific --
- 15 you would need to look specifically within a half
- 16 to three/quarters of a mile, you would not find out
- 17 what you need to know if you looked at property two
- 18 miles away?
- 19 A What I said previously, and I still hold as http://webmail.omnilec.com/service/home/~/?id=24573&part=3&auth=co&disp=i 5/8/2008

- 20 an opinion today, when you are right within the
- 21 confines of the projects, the footprint, you are
- 22 surrounded by these turbines or immediately next to
- 23 them, that the impact is going to be fairly
- 24 pronounced, and as the distance from the edge of

- 1 the wind farm increases, that impact decreases. I
- 2 think about a half a mile, three/quarters of a
- 3 mile, depending on what it is. And if there are
- 4 any obstructions, it's not a significant view shed
- 5 issue, there might be, you know, fairly nominal
- 6 impact.
- You get out two miles, there can still be.
- 8 I think we heard Mr. James testify earlier that
- 9 that's about the point where the sound issue
- 10 disappears or becomes almost immeasurable. But
- 11 when you are right in the project, standing or
- 12 sitting in a running car with the windows open and

- 13 those turbines are running, to me it sounded like a
- 14 very slow helicopter. So the more you are within
- 15 the immediate project area, the more noise you
- 16 hear, the more visual impact you are going to have,
- 17 and the more pronounced the market aversion to
- 18 buying the properties is going to be.
- 19 Q Did you do a measurement as to how far the
- 20 Bingham Road home was from the nearest turbine?
- 21 A Did not do an exact measurement at all.
- Q Did you do any measurement at all or any
- 23 way to estimate that?
- 24 A The nearest one appeared to be within a

- 1 quarter of a mile, 12 hundred feet or so, 12, 13
- 2 hundred feet.
- 3 Q You're familiar -- I'm sorry, give me a
- 4 second -- did you appraise for value any of the
- 5 homes of the objectors that you were talking about

- 6 earlier?
- 7 A No. What I used was an example for
- 8 illustrative purposes of an average value of 275
- 9 thousand, but I would not stand here and tell you
- 10 that's a value that you should take to the bank
- 11 with any one of these houses. It was really just
- 12 kind of an average value.
- The Litwiller property, for example, has
- 14 two residences and a big shop on it, and one of
- 15 them was a brand new construction, fairly large
- 16 home. I would expect that property would sell for
- 17 significantly more than 275 thousand.
- 18 Q But you're not prepared to give an
- 19 appraiser's opinion about the value of any of those
- 20 homes; is that right?
- 21 A I just told you the extent of my valuation
- 22 of those homes.
- Q Would you agree the best method to evaluate
- 24 whether a wind farm has an affect on property

- 1 values would be to perform a compared sales
- 2 analysis based on actual sales of the actual
- 3 properties in the immediate area of an operating
- 4 wind farm?
- 5 A What I would agree with is the best way to
- 6 determine the impact is see what the market
- 7 reaction has actually been under any pricing
- 8 method. When you have a lack of data, as I stated
- 9 earlier, relevant data of single family homes that
- 10 have sold that can be measured, as you are
- 11 describing, compared sales data, that's an
- 12 indication in their own right there is a market
- 13 aversion to properties of that nature. So
- 14 therefore, compared sales analysis does not really
- 15 lend itself to that particular kind of
- 16 circumstance.
- 17 Q Mr. McCann, I don't want to speak out of
- 18 school here, but we could do this a lot faster if
- 19 you just answer the question that I ask. I am not
- 20 going to stop you from talking, but we want to move
- 21 it along.
- MR. SPANOS: I would object to counsel
- 23 instructing the witness under these circumstances,
- 24 when counsel doesn't get the answer he wants, http://webmail.omnilec.com/service/home/~/?id=24573&part=3&auth=co&disp=i

- 1 although the answer is responsive to the question.
- 2 If counsel would quit asking the question twice we
- 3 would also move on a lot quicker.
- 4 MR. HOLLY: I would like it at this time if
- 5 both parties would move along a little quicker, so
- 6 I think that's good advice for both.
- 7 MR. LASCO: I appreciate that. And I will
- 8 do what I can here.
- 9 Q I am going to need to ask this question
- 10 again. Do you agree that the compared method is
- 11 the accepted appraising methodology for determining
- 12 whether a particular proposed use of a property
- 13 will affect surrounding property values?
- 14 A It depends.
- 15 Q You mentioned earlier that you were
- 16 involved in wind farm projects at Stephenson,
- 17 correct?

- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q You testified at zoning hearings there?
- 20 A One hearing, yes.
- 21 Q And you were working with the same lawyer
- 22 that you are working with for this case, right?
- 23 A No. Same firm, different lawyers.
- 24 Q Mr. Porter?

ALLIANCE REPORTING SERVICE 6746 NORTH FROSTWOOD PARKWAY, PEORIA, ILLINOIS 61615

- 1 A Yes, Mr. Porter.
- Q Did you agree the compared method is the
- 3 accepted appraising methodology for doing a
- 4 compared sales analysis?
- 5 A I did discuss compared sales analysis and
- 6 that is the ideal technique when, if I recall
- 7 correctly, when the situation lends itself to it.
- 8 Q I recognize the request to move things
- 9 along, and I apologize if you all want a break. I
- 10 have a transcript of Mr. McCann's testimony, and it http://webmail.omnilec.com/service/home/~/?id=24573&part=3&auth=co&disp=i 5/8/2008

- 11 seems he might do with a little refreshing of his
- 12 recollection as to what he said there. I recognize
- 13 that this is a time consuming process.
- 14 MR. HOLLY: You can ask him if some sort of
- 15 document would refresh his recollection. I don't
- 16 know that he has indicated that one would.
- 17 CHAIRMAN TOEVS: I can barely hear you.
- 18 MR. LASCO: You cannot hear me?
- 19 CHAIRMAN TOEVS: Now I can.
- MR. LASCO: I thought I was speaking up.
- 21 CHAIRMAN TOEVS: No.
- MR. LASCO: I would like to ask the witness
- 23 to look at the document here, which is a transcript
- 24 --

ALLIANCE REPORTING SERVICE 6746 NORTH FROSTWOOD PARKWAY, PEORIA, ILLINOIS 61615

- 1 CHAIRMAN TOEVS: Come up and show it to 2 him.
- 3 MR. LASCO: -- of testimony.

- 4 MR. SPANOS: Can I see it first?
- 5 MR. LASCO: Of course you can see it
- 6 first.
- 7 MR. SPANOS: What page?
- 8 MR. LASCO: I will give you that when I get
- 9 back to my desk. How about the zoning chairman?
- 10 MS. DEININGER: Yes.
- MR. LASCO: I have one more copy if anyone
- 12 else needs one. One of the Board members?
- Q Would you look at page 41, and at line 15
- 14 on that page there is a question that your lawyer
- 15 asked you, "How does one go about determining if a
- 16 particular proposed project or particular easement
- 17 or a particular use of a property will affect
- 18 surrounding property values", do you see that
- 19 question there?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 Q And you gave the answer "The accepted
- 22 appraisal methodology is essentially defined as
- 23 compared analysis", right?
- 24 A That was part of my answer.

- 1 MR. SPANOS: I object at the attempted
- 2 impeachment. He hasn't established an inconsistent
- 3 statement and is now paraphrasing the testimony out
- 4 of the deposition and testifying while he is doing
- 5 it.
- 6 MR. LASCO: I'm just asking him if he gave
- 7 that answer, that's all.
- 8 MR. SPANOS: And you are reading an answer
- 9 and paraphrasing it and it's not the complete
- 10 answer.
- MR. HOLLY: You can ask him about what's in
- 12 the transcript and any questions that's associated
- 13 with it that you think is appropriate.
- MR. LASCO: I am going to try to do what I
- 15 can to move this along.
- 16 Q You yourself used compared sales analysis
- 17 many times in engagements where you have been asked
- 18 about the effects of a project or proposed project,
- 19 haven't you?
- 20 A When and where possible, yes.
- 21 Q And you have used it for a transfer
- 22 station, garbage transfer station?

- 23 A Yes, I have.
- 24 Q And you used it for a landfill project and

- 1 quarry project?
- 2 A Yes.
- 3 Q And for a peeker plant project up in
- 4 Bartlett, Illinois?
- 5 A Yes. You have done your research.
- 6 Q I haven't had a lot of time. I tried.
- 7 I will try to make this quick. In a
- 8 compared sales analysis what you are supposed to
- 9 do, as I understand it, is to try to compare actual
- 10 sales that are near an existing use that's similar
- 11 to the proposed use, and you want to compare those
- 12 sales to the sales of other properties that are
- 13 similar except that they're not near that use,
- 14 right?
- 15 A Correct.

- 16 Q And so with the wind farm you want to look
- 17 for properties that are near wind farms and compare
- 18 their sales to properties that are otherwise
- 19 similar to those but not near a wind farm?
- 20 A Yes. If possible, yes.
- 21 Q And you have the target area that is a wind
- 22 farm area?
- 23 A Well, if there was a large enough data
- 24 base, certainly it would lend itself to that target

- 1 area and that controlled area methodology in using
- 2 compared sales as a larger data set, but, as I said
- 3 there is not a larger data set, there is a limited
- 4 amount of information.
- 6 terminology down. The target area is the area
- 7 around the wind farm?
- 8 A In the immediate proximity to, yes. If http://webmail.omnilec.com/service/home/~/?id=24573&part=3&auth=co&disp=i

- 9 there were more homes, more homes selling, that's
- 10 what I would use as a target area.
- 11 Q And a controlled area is some other area
- 12 that you identify as being similar in other
- 13 respects, but it's not near the wind farm, right?
- 14 A Again, the same circumstances, yes.
- 15 Q And then you gather information on the
- 16 sales of both properties and compare them to each
- 17 other?
- 18 A Basically, yes. The sales prices, the days
- 19 on market, the percentage of list price sold for,
- 20 rates of appreciation if properties have sold and
- 21 resold.

- In this case there just wasn't the
- 23 opportunity to do sales and resale analysis, there
- 24 was the original cost and then sale price

ALLIANCE REPORTING SERVICE 6746 NORTH FROSTWOOD PARKWAY, PEORIA, ILLINOIS 61615

- 2 information, so that is what I used.
- 3 Q And when you do compared sales analysis,
- 4 you want to make sure that the properties you are
- 5 comparing to each other are similar to each other
- 6 in the way we talked about before in terms of age
- 7 and condition and factors like that?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q And just to be clear, I think we already
- 10 know the answer to this, but you have never done
- 11 comparison sales analysis of the effects of wind
- 12 farms on property values in the area of the wind
- 13 farm, right?
- 14 A In a broader sense I did from the larger
- 15 data set from Lee County versus Ogle County, which
- 16 I believe I testified to -- Stephenson or Ogle
- 17 County, I don't recall which -- but not on a
- 18 property by property basis as I think you are
- 19 alluding to.
- Q By the way, when you work on this kind of
- 21 assignment, do you also sometimes rely on
- 22 interviews with assessors in an area that has
- 23 similar uses?
- 24 A Well --

- 1 Q I don't recall if you mentioned that or 2 not.
- A I do talk to assessors to find out some 4 information, but I certainly don't adopt opinions 5 of professional assessors who have an obligation to 6 uniformly assess properties as well as find the 7 market value.
- 8 Q So, you would use supervisor of assessors 9 as a way of gathering information for, in order to 10 evaluate potential property value effects?
- A It might well be useful. It depends on what information the assessor has or what's -- or labeled how complete it is, how relevant it is. All information isn't equal, it depends on what you are labeled how complete it is a second or what you are labeled how complete it is.
- 16 Q In the --
- 17 A -- valuing and what you are trying to --
- 18 Q In that transcript I gave you before, and
- 19 you don't necessarily need to look at it if you
- 20 remember, you talked about at what distance from http://webmail.omnilec.com/service/home/~/?id=24573&part=3&auth=co&disp=i

- 21 the proposed wind farm you would expect to see a
- 22 negative effect on property values. Do you
- 23 remember talking about that in your hearing at
- 24 Stephenson County?

- 1 A Somewhat, yes.
- 2 Q And you said once you got out a mile or two
- 3 you would expect the decreased value to be slight?
- 4 A I believe that's consistent with what I
- 5 said tonight, yes.
- 6 Q You proposed a property value protection
- 7 plan to the Zoning Board for Stephenson County; is
- 8 that right?
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q They did not accept your proposal, right?
- 11 MR. SPANOS: I object. It's not relevant.
- MR. HOLLY: Is there some kind of relevance
- 13 to that?

- MR. LASCO: I mean I guess that's for you
- 15 to judge. I thought it was.
- MR. HOLLY: I don't know what a prior
- 17 Zoning Board has done has any relevance for this
- 18 Zoning Board in this matter.
- 19 MR. LASCO: I take your point and I will
- 20 move it.
- MR. HOLLY: I don't see how it's relevant.
- 22 BY MR. LASCO:
- Q I want to ask you, you talked earlier when
- 24 Mr. Spanos was asking you questions about the

- 1 public perception of how negative trends of the
- 2 property would affect the values, is that fair, did
- 3 you say something to that effect?
- 4 A I think that slightly mischaracterizes it,
- 5 but public perceptions can translate into less
- 6 demand for property, more compelling decisions to http://webmail.omnilec.com/service/home/~/?id=24573&part=3&auth=co&disp=i

- 7 sell, depending on how somebody perceives a
- 8 particular dis-amenity, it can definitely affect
- 9 their decision in selling a property at a lower
- 10 price or not buying it at all and things of that
- 11 nature.
- But it's just not the perceptions
- 13 themselves, you know, it's not -- I just want to
- 14 say if so and so down the street said that it's an
- 15 ugly use that automatically property values are
- 16 going to drop, it's not that simple.
- 17 Q Are your comments about the effect of a
- 18 perception, is that part of your basis for the
- 19 opinion that the property values of the objectors
- 20 here would be affected?
- 21 A I think it ties into it, but it certainly
- 22 is in large part in the lack of conformity and the
- 23 dramatic change of the character in the immediate
- 24 area, in the project area, you know, the views and

- 1 sound issues and so forth, you know, can and I
- 2 believe do and will translate into a negative
- 3 effect on property values, on residential property
- 4 values in particular.
- 5 Q I want to ask you some questions here then
- 6 about the work you did with respect to the power
- 7 plant and building of one, you mentioned that
- 8 before?
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q And that involved a power plant that was
- 11 going to be built about 32 hundred feet from a
- 12 residential subdivision, do you remember that?
- 13 A Yes, I do.
- 14 Q And there were concerns expressed in the
- 15 community about whether that was going to have a
- 16 negative effect on property values?
- 17 A That's correct.
- 18 Q And you were retained by the village I
- 19 believe to give an opinion whether there was to be
- 20 a negative effect on property values?
- 21 A That's right.
- 22 Q And you prepared a report for the village
- 23 and you gave testimony before the village trustees?
- 24 A That's correct.

176

- Q And one of the things you did in that
  analysis is you looked for other kinds of
  industrial uses that were near other residential
  areas to see if those other industrial projects had
  fected property values; is that fair?

  A well, it's not complete. What I looked for
  was other combined cycle power plants, and since
  that was a new particular use in that immediate
  area, I went to other locations in the country to
  review operating combined cycle power plants, you
  know, which again a major difference is the -- two
  major differences, make it three.
- 14 fact that the power plant, combined cycle power 15 plant, was not surrounding neighboring residences,

The difference in land area occupied, the

- 16 and there was extensive screening, berming and
- 17 buffering, between the power plant and the nearest
- 18 residences. Those residences, by the way, already

- 19 backed up to a sand and gravel extraction
- 20 operation, or a quarry, which is exactly where the
- 21 power plant was being proposed to be built, in a
- 22 Chicago Elmhurst Stone Company gravel quarry that
- 23 was being reclaimed.
- 24 Q Did you look at -- one of the things you

- 1 looked at when you were doing your analysis for the
- 2 Village of Bartlett was a quarry in Elmhurst,
- 3 Illinois, do you remember looking at a quarry in
- 4 Elmhurst?
- 5 A I remember appraising the guarry in
- 6 Elmhurst, Illinois. I don't specifically remember
- 7 looking at that for that purpose, no.
- 8 Q I will need to give you another document.
- 9 I have premarked this as Petitioner's Exhibit 10,
- 10 Mr. Spanos. And here is one for you, please.
- 11 Would you look at page eight of that http://webmail.omnilec.com/service/home/~/?id=24573&part=3&auth=co&disp=i

- 12 document? I'm sorry, start by the cover page you
- 13 see. Do you recall giving some testimony to the
- 14 Village of Bartlett trustees in August of 2000?
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 Q And do you see here, if you go to -- I'm
- 17 sorry -- page seven, there is a reference to
- 18 yourself and your property value study, and then
- 19 some description there of things that you said,
- 20 right, things that you talked about?
- 21 A This is not my report, this is a staff
- 22 report or a committee agenda.
- 23 Q I understand that. I think it says it's
- 24 the minutes, right? Next page I think it says it's

178

1 the minutes.

- 2 A Yes, August 15th, 2000.
- 3 Q And you did in fact talk to the board on
- 4 that day, right, about these things?

- 5 A On or about --
- 6 Q I would just like you to look at the top of
- 7 page eight and read a couple -- the fourth line
- 8 there is a sentence that starts with the word
- 9 "we". It says, we did a similar analysis of the
- 10 Weathersfield Subdivision which is located east of
- 11 the Illinois Elmhurst Quarry. Do you recall the
- 12 doing that?
- 13 A I'm still looking for the spot. Would you
- 14 repeat that to me again?
- 15 Q On the top of page eight.
- 16 A Okay.
- 17 Q And the third -- fourth line from the top
- 18 of the page. In the middle of that line, the
- 19 sentence that begins with the word "we". And
- 20 really all I'm trying to ask you right now is do
- 21 you remember doing an analysis of the Weathersfield
- 22 Subdivision which is located near the Elmhurst
- 23 Quarry?
- 24 A I believe that was the Elmhurst Quarry in

- 1 Bartlett. I thought you meant the Elmhurst Chicago
- 2 Stone Company Quarry, that's what I was not
- 3 remembering, that studies the property values
- 4 around that quarry.
- 5 Q I am going to save everybody some time.
- 6 You concluded that the quarry did not have, the
- 7 Elmhurst Quarry that we're looking at here, you
- 8 concluded that it did not have any adverse effect
- 9 on the surrounding property values; didn't you?
- 10 A I think what I said was the real question
- 11 is what effect would the peeker facility -- and
- 12 that's what I was referring to. I think I was
- 13 getting background information on sale prices for
- 14 homes that were adjacent to that existing
- 15 industrial use, which was a heavy earthmoving
- 16 operation out in the open. The reclamation of that
- 17 quarry, however, has created the land on which that
- 18 historic industrial district was considering there
- 19 combined cycle peeker plant.
- 20 Q You also looked at another power plant in
- 21 that area called the -- another power plant that
- 22 was in Aurora, Illinois, right, near a residential
- 23 area, do you remember that?

- 1 Aurora Road.
- Q And you reviewed, I think you testified, a
- 3 thousand transactions and you determined that there
- 4 had not been an adverse effect on property values
- 5 from that power plant in Aurora; is that right?
- 6 A That's true.
- And that power plant was also tucked into a
- 8 heavy industrial area that again pre-existed the
- 9 establishment of those homes. And it was a
- 10 relative peeker plant that only was running during
- 11 peek demand periods. And lying between the peeker
- 12 plant and those homes, I don't remember how many
- 13 dozens or hundreds of transformers and a field of
- 14 transmission lines on the east side of Leola Road
- 15 where the nearest residential subdivision was west
- 16 of Leola Road. Stone Bridge I believe was the name http://webmail.omnilec.com/service/home/~/?id=24573&part=3&auth=co&disp=i 5/8/2008

- 17 of the subdivision, and Cambridge Chase.
- 18 Q I'm ready to go onto the next question. Do
- 19 you remember talking there about, to the village
- 20 trustees, being asked questions whether the height
- 21 of the smoke stacks for these power plants was
- 22 going to have any effect on these properties?
- 23 A Not distinctly, no.
- Q Look at the top of page nine and see if

- 1 that refreshes your recollection if one of the
- 2 trustees asked you how tall the peeker stacks were
- 3 going to be in Aurora.
- 4 A Yes.
- Q And you gave the answer they were 25 or 30 6 feet tall?
- 7 A Yes, the peeker plant.
- 8 Q And that's the plant in Aurora that you
- 9 were using for one of your comparisons in Aurora? http://webmail.omnilec.com/service/home/~/?id=24573&part=3&auth=co&disp=i

- 10 A Yes.
- 11 Q And one of the trustees asked you what was
- 12 the proposed height of the stacks?
- 13 A Yes.
- 14 Q And you said the height was going to be 121
- 15 to 137 feet, is that right?
- 16 A That's what it says in the minutes, so
- 17 that's what I'm assuming I said.
- 18 Q And so if you turn to the next page, on
- 19 page 11, at the top of the page, Trustee Nolan then
- 20 asks you again whether the height of the stacks in
- 21 your opinion was going to make a difference in the
- 22 value on the surrounding properties.
- 23 A Yes.
- Q Do you remember, did he ask you that?

- 1 A I don't distinctly remember it, it was
- 2 eight years ago. Indulge me in my memory a little.

- 3 Q You gave the answer that, no, it would not,
- 4 the height of the stacks was not going to affect
- 5 property values?
- 6 A That's what the minutes say, yes.
- 7 Q And then there was a further question from
- 8 Trustee Nolan, if you look down the third paragraph
- 9 on that page, Trustee Nolan commented, I don't want
- 10 to characterize it here, but he asked you about why
- 11 wouldn't -- why wouldn't there be a difference
- 12 between a 30 foot stack and a hundred and 30 foot
- 13 stack, right? And you gave an answer that there
- 14 was no difference in the perception of the
- 15 surrounding neighborhood, right?
- 16 A I'm sorry, that's not what I said. If you
- 17 read it more careful, it says Mr. McCann responded
- 18 that he would not say there is no difference
- 19 between the perception of the surrounding
- 20 neighborhood.
- 21 Q And why don't you go on and read the rest.
- 22 A For some homes there will be a visual
- 23 impact, they will be able to see it.
- 24 Q Keep going.

- 1 A The question is, when they go to sell their
- 2 home are they going to take any less for that. And
- 3 the market says they are not. And for that market
- 4 that is true.
- 5 Q You also gave examples in the prior
- 6 paragraph, if you want to track along, of the coal
- 7 plant in Winetka that had 1 hundred 70 foot stacks
- 8 and you said that didn't affect property values
- 9 either, right?
- 10 A That particular power plant will be, as I
- 11 recall, out of operation. It was set down at the,
- 12 essentially at the level of the lake, the homes
- 13 that were nearest were up on a bluff and maybe
- 14 only, from memory, half a dozen homes had a view of
- 15 it. That is one of the highest priced areas and
- 16 the amenity of the lake definitely offset any
- 17 presence of that old decommissioned power plant.
- 18 Q Do you remember in that meeting, and I
- 19 believe this would be my last point, I understand
- 20 everyone is getting very impatient with me, there
- 21 is some comments in that meeting about fears some http://webmail.omnilec.com/service/home/~/?id=24573&part=3&auth=co&disp=i

- 22 homeowners might have had about the possible
- 23 affects of the plant on their property values. Do
- 24 you remember anything like that coming up?

- 1 A I remember I had a realtor getting up and
- 2 making some remarks about --
- 3 Q If you look at page nine, there is a
- 4 paragraph that starts with Ed McCann, in the middle
- 5 of the page, kind of a long paragraph, and if you
- 6 go down seven lines into that paragraph, there is a
- 7 sentence that starts with, "I understand". I
- 8 understand that everyone has some concerns and
- 9 there has been some panic in relation to the issue
- 10 about the ABA Facility, did you say something to
- 11 that effect?
- 12 A That's what the minutes say, yes.
- 13 Q And do you remember telling the trustees
- 14 that the actual factual data shows that -- why http://webmail.omnilec.com/service/home/~/?id=24573&part=3&auth=co&disp=i

- 15 don't you look at page eight, paragraph -- lower
- 16 half of the page, starts with the words "table
- 17 two". And two/thirds of the way down there is a
- 18 line that starts with the number 384 and then a
- 19 sentence that starts with "what", right?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 Q And what you said was, what all this actual
- 22 factual data shows that some of the fears and the
- 23 panicing we see with these types of facilities and
- 24 other facilities don't really prove out in the

- 1 marketplace when people go to buy and sell their
- 2 homes, right?
- 3 A That was true at that location, yes.
- 4 Q And you said what the market indicates is
- 5 that they can be expected to get the same price
- 6 they otherwise would?
- 7 A That's what the market was showing there, http://webmail.omnilec.com/service/home/ $\sim$ /?id=24573&part=3&auth=co&disp=i

- 8 yes.
- 9 Q And did you also tell the board that, if
- 10 you would look at page 12, the first -- the second
- 11 paragraph on page 12 starts with a reference to
- 12 yourself, Ed McCann, second sentence of that
- 13 paragraph -- no I'm sorry -- third sentence of that
- 14 paragraph, did you tell the board that on the basis
- 15 of your 20 years of experience and looking at a
- 16 wide variety of different developments, some of
- 17 which were known as objectionable land uses, that
- 18 what happens is that the fears that are often
- 19 portrayed do not come to pass?
- 20 A That's what the minutes say I said, yes.
- 21 Q And that's what you said before, before to
- 22 the village trustees there?
- 23 A Yes.
- Q And you told the trustees that your

- 1 experience and your analysis led you to the
- 2 conclusion, very bottom of page 11, that property
- 3 values are a lot more resilient than what some
- 4 people would lead you to believe?
- 5 A And that is true in the close in Chicago
- 6 suburbs. There is such a high demand for homes
- 7 that that has proven out time and time again.
- 8 That's why it's all important to look at market
- 9 data from very comparable locations. In this case
- 10 the Mendota Hills area is far more comparable than
- 11 Bartlett, or Palm Springs, or any other location.
- 12 Q How much market data did you look at? You
- 13 said one house is the market data you looked at?
- 14 A No, that's not true. I said that's the
- 15 best piece of evidence.
- MR. LASCO: I don't have any other
- 17 questions. Thank you.
- 18 CHAIRMAN TOEVS: Thank you.
- MR. SPANOS: I would like an opportunity
- 20 very briefly, I promise, to rehabilitate him on a
- 21 couple of points, just ask him a couple of
- 22 questions.
- Q Bartlett, that's a well-known Illinois
- 24 agricultural center, right?

- 1 A Well, maybe once upon a time, but it's not
- 2 since I took my training wheels off.
- 3 Q Aurora, that's a well-known agricultural
- 4 center, isn't it?
- 5 A No, Aurora has pretty much ploughed down
- 6 the cornfields.
- 7 Q What about Winetka?
- 8 A Well, those homes were built a hundred
- 9 years ago by some of the wealthiest, the north
- 10 shore residents that built right next to the lake,
- 11 like Lake Michigan.
- 12 Q How many smoke stacks are they going to
- 13 tear down for this Rail Splitter project and
- 14 replace with wind towers?
- 15 A I don't know of any smoke stacks that are
- 16 getting torn out, but 38 or 39 spinning propellers
- 17 towers.
- 18 Q Well, isn't it true then in the Bartlett
- 19 project that you were talking about before, you http://webmail.omnilec.com/service/home/~/?id=24573&part=3&auth=co&disp=i

- 20 testified that if they were taking one eyesore and
- 21 replacing it with another eyesore; is that right?
- 22 A That's certainly one way to put it, and it
- 23 can be a matter of opinion whether or not it's an
- 24 eyesore, but certainly it's not a moving eyesore.

- 1 Q Let me change the question then. They're
- 2 taking one negative trait item and replacing it
- 3 with another negative trait item in the same
- 4 location, correct?
- 5 A Well, they're establishing an industrial
- 6 use, proposing to establish an industrial use in
- 7 the biggest block of industrial land in that part
- 8 of the west suburbs, so, yes, it was to the extent
- 9 that an industrial use is negative, they were
- 10 replacing one with another.
- 11 Q So if we go out to one of the Caterpillar
- 12 plants and decide to build another Caterpillar http://webmail.omnilec.com/service/home/~/?id=24573&part=3&auth=co&disp=i

- 13 plant or similar plant next to it, would you expect
- 14 that second plant built next to the first one to
- 15 have an effect on the property values?
- 16 A No. There is already a pre-existing
- 17 condition.
- 18 Q And isn't that what we have here in
- 19 Bartlett?

- 20 A In Bartlett, there was a pre-existing
- 21 condition in Bartlett, yes, several of them.
- 22 Q I understand your testimony that -- in
- 23 fact, let's talk about this exhibit that you were
- 24 given. That's not your testimony, right?

ALLIANCE REPORTING SERVICE 6746 NORTH FROSTWOOD PARKWAY, PEORIA, ILLINOIS 61615

- 1 A No, this is somebody's recap, I imagine a 2 secretary's.
- 3 Q There aren't any quotation marks on any of
- 4 this; is that right?
- 5 A That's correct.

- 6 Q This was a secretary at a meeting that is
- 7 taking down some notes and later on typing up some
- 8 minutes or do you even know?
- 9 A Well, I don't really know who took it
- 10 down. But, clearly somebody was at least
- 11 attempting to recap, you know, some of the things I
- 12 said or testified to.
- 13 Q Mike, if we understand your testimony, the
- 14 difference between the attempted impeachment that
- 15 Mr. Lasco has done, and the case here is that in
- 16 those cases you have one problem that's either
- 17 being built next to or replacing another problem;
- 18 is that right?
- 19 A In the framework of what we're talking
- 20 about here, yes.
- 21 Q One thing that may have a potential effect
- 22 on price or value of property replacing another one
- 23 that would have a similar effect?
- 24 A I'm sorry, what did you say again?

- 1 Q So you have one piece of property -- or I'm
- 2 sorry -- one proposed facility that may have a
- 3 similar negative effect to either the other
- 4 facilities in the same area or the one that it's
- 5 replacing; is that right?
- 6 A To the extent that it's negative, yes, but
- 7 as to a pre-existing condition there was already
- 8 market acceptance of the presence of not just this
- 9 industrial use, but a wide variety of industrial
- 10 uses and, you know, we are talking about one quarry
- 11 in Bartlett, but actually there were at least
- 12 three, and there was also the old, you know, the
- 13 list goes on on how many industrial properties and
- 14 earthmoving operations there were there.
- 15 Q Do we have any of those things in Tazewell
- 16 County where they're proposing this wind site?
- 17 A No.
- 18 Q Did we have, in 2000 had they studied any
- 19 wind projects?
- 20 A No.
- Q Were there any around in 2000 in Illinois?
- 22 A No.
- MR. SPANOS: I don't have anything else.
- MR. LASCO: I'm sorry, the only question --

- 1 I have two requests for the Board, please. First,
- 2 that Exhibit 10 be placed in the record. And
- 3 secondly, that would Mr. McCann please give us a
- 4 copy of his report that he gave to the Village of
- 5 Bartlett?
- 6 MR. MCCANN: Oh, well, I'm not sure that I
- 7 can do that without approval from the client and
- 8 that was the Village of Bartlett. But to the
- 9 extent it's public record, you should be able to 10 get it.
- 11 MR. LASCO: Thank you.
- MR. SPANOS: I understand we're going to
- 13 continue and come back another day. While we're
- 14 here, I would ask the Board to take my exhibit. I
- 15 have Exhibit 1 in the record as well. I realize
- 16 there may be some objections to them.
- 17 CHAIRMAN TOEVS: I want to do one more http://webmail.omnilec.com/service/home/~/?id=24573&part=3&auth=co&disp=i

- 18 thing tonight. How many of you want to cross
- 19 examine Mr. McCann that are on this list?
- 20 (Indicating). If your name isn't on this list.
- THE AUDIENCE: I don't know if it is on
- 22 there or not.
- MS. DEININGER: It's not.
- 24 CHAIRMAN TOEVS: Okay, the two that are,

- 1 you and you, your names are on this list. Okay,
- 2 come up here and I'll swear you in and you can ask
- 3 your questions.
- 4 Christy, all you need to do is come up. I
- 5 already swore that gentleman in back there.
- 6 Christy, raise your right hand.
- 7 (Witness sworn.)
- 8 CHAIRMAN TOEVS: One of you ask your
- 9 questions.
- MR. EGLI: My question was for, is it http://webmail.omnilec.com/service/home/~/?id=24573&part=3&auth=co&disp=i

- 11 Mike?
- MR. MCCANN: Yes.
- MR. EGLI: You're a good example, you are
- 14 from Chicago, right?
- MR. MCCANN: Yes.
- MR. EGLI: If you were going to go out and
- 17 look for a house in the country, you were tired of
- 18 living in Chicago, would you be interested in my
- 19 house that's going to be surrounded by 15 wind
- 20 towers, or would you look for a country setting?
- MR. MCCANN: Well, I can tell you very
- 22 specifically that my wife and I have done just
- 23 that. And I drove her down Route 39 by Mendota
- 24 Hills and she said, no way. And I might be the

193

- 1 head of the house, but she's the neck that turns
- 2 the head.

3 MR. SPANOS: Why are all the guys laughing http://webmail.omnilec.com/service/home/~/?id=24573&part=3&auth=co&disp=i 5/8/2008

- 4 and the women are not?
- 5 MR. EGLI: Am I allowed to ask any
- 6 questions of --
- 7 CHAIRMAN TOEVS: No, here is the expert
- 8 witness.
- 9 MS. DEININGER: These next week.
- MR. EGLI: Okay, that's the only question I
- 11 have.
- 12 CHAIRMAN TOEVS: Okay Christy, you ask your
- 13 question.
- MS. PARR: It's not a repeat. It's wording
- 15 it differently. But I would like to ask Mr.
- 16 McCann, are you aware today through your
- 17 professional experience or those of your colleagues
- 18 of any current buyers seeking a rural location for
- 19 their personal residence requesting to live within
- 20 the footprint of a wind farm?
- MR. MCCANN: No, I have not found anybody
- 22 that's saying that's an amenity that they want to
- 23 go live amongst.
- MS. PARR: As wind farms become more

- 1 prevalent in Illinois, do you or your colleagues
- 2 anticipate that future buyers seeking rural
- 3 property for personal residences will request to
- 4 purchase a home within the footprint of a wind farm
- 5 or list it as a desired amenity?
- 6 MR. MCCANN: I can't imagine anybody
- 7 thinking of it as a desired amenity to live in, but
- 8 it's kind of neat to drive by.
- 9 MS. PARR: Will a home --
- 10 MS. DEININGER: Christy, would you state
- 11 your name and address?
- MS. PARR: Christy Parr. A rural residence
- 13 at 722 Springfield Road, Delavan, Illinois. I also
- 14 have an rural residence in Woodford County. We
- 15 have two residences.
- 16 Will a home within the footprint of a wind
- 17 farm most likely receive fewer showings than a
- 18 comparable property or properties outside the
- 19 footprint and the view shed?
- MR. MCCANN: From the realtors I have
- 21 spoken to that have worked that area around Mendota
- 22 Hills, it's not even opinion, it's a fact there is

23 either no showings or few showings. And as soon as 24 people see the wind farm, they tend to walk away.

ALLIANCE REPORTING SERVICE 6746 NORTH FROSTWOOD PARKWAY, PEORIA, ILLINOIS 61615

- 1 MS. PARR: Thank you. One last question, 2 and hopefully I will invoke a little bit of a smile
- 3 from all of us here tonight, because I know we're
- 4 all a little tired and anxious to go on with this.
- 5 But it's a serious question, and I hope it invokes 6 a thought.
- 7 Since the Statue of Liberty is 240 feet
- 8 tall, will the average buyer seeking a rural
- 9 residence want to live in the shadow or near a four
- 10 hundred foot turbine that is 1 hundred 60 feet
- 11 taller than the Statue of Liberty?
- MR. MCCANN: That opens it up to all kinds
- 13 of commentary, but I don't picture a typical buyer
- 14 in the marketplace wanting to live in the footprint
- 15 of the almost four hundred foot tall structures,

- 16 spinning structures. It's just not an amenity.
- 17 And I don't know if they're building any houses on
- 18 Ellis Island, but it certainly would be far more an
- 19 amenity than a windmill.
- MS. PARR: I missed one other question that
- 21 I had that was associated with my previous question
- 22 regarding the fewer showings. Can fewer showings
- 23 have a correlation to the length of time on market
- 24 and the final sales price?

- 1 MR. MCCANN: Absolutely.
- 2 MS. PARR: Thank you.
- 3 CHAIRMAN TOEVS: Okay, the grand scheme, we
- 4 have a regular ZBA meeting on 5-6, that's next
- 5 Tuesday. What I would like to do -- I would like
- 6 you guys to move -- somebody move that we put this
- 7 one on standby.
- 8 MS. DEININGER: Now, what we're proposing http://webmail.omnilec.com/service/home/~/?id=24573&part=3&auth=co&disp=i

- 9 to do, we have our normal Zoning Board of Appeals
- 10 meeting next Tuesday. We only have four cases, we
- 11 should be done within a hour, so then we could go
- 12 on to the Rail Splitter after that. They will
- 13 bring back their last expert witness the same night
- 14 as the normal --

- MR. SPANOS: Kristal, we're talking about
- 16 Lynn Westoff, is that who you are talking about?
- MS. DEININGER: Yes.
- MR. SPANOS: And Mr. Whitlock.
- MS. DEININGER: As an adverse witness.
- MR. SPANOS: I would withdraw the Ms.
- 21 Westoff as a witness. And the next hearing, if Mr.
- 22 Miles and Mr. Whitlock are agreeable, at the
- 23 beginning of that last hearing, I have only a few
- 24 questions for Mr. Whitlock, I assume you are going

ALLIANCE REPORTING SERVICE 6746 NORTH FROSTWOOD PARKWAY, PEORIA, ILLINOIS 61615

- 2 I can do it in cross if you tell me that's what you
- 3 are going to do, if he is going to testify. If he
- 4 is not going to testify, then I would ask for the
- 5 opportunity to question him beforehand, in the
- 6 interest of saving time and not making us do this
- 7 another day.
- 8 MS. DEININGER: So, you are saying you
- 9 would do this on the 15th of May?
- MR. SPANOS: If you are going to call Mr.
- 11 Whitlock, I could cross examine Mr. Whitlock, I do
- 12 not have to call him. If you're going to call Mr.
- 13 Whitlock. And understanding that my cross will go
- 14 where I want to go and I am not limited by your
- 15 questions. Are you okay with that?
- MR. MILES: We're fine with that.
- MR. SPANOS: That would save the Board from
- 18 some more suffering.
- MR. MILES: Are we going to do the Westoff
- 20 witness on the 6th?
- MR. SPANOS: No, I'm withdrawing her.
- MS. DEININGER: So, we have the regular ZBA
- 23 meeting at the Justice Center on the 6th. Thank
- 24 you everyone.

```
1
    CHAIRMAN TOEVS: I need a motion to
 2 adjourn.
 3
           MR. NEWMAN: Motion to continue to May
 4 15th.
 5
           MR. ZIMMERMAN: Second.
           CHAIRMAN TOEVS: All in favor say aye.
 6
  (All saying aye).
 7
          CHAIRMAN TOEVS: All opposed say nay.
 8
 9 Adjourned.
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
```

22

23

24

ALLIANCE REPORTING SERVICE 6746 NORTH FROSTWOOD PARKWAY, PEORIA, ILLINOIS 61615

199

```
1 I, ARLENE H. NAUMAN, CSR, RMR, a Notary
```

- 2 Public in and for the County of Tazewell, State of
- 3 Illinois, and the Notary Public who reported the
- 4 proceedings had on said day in this cause, do
- 5 hereby certify that the foregoing transcript of
- 6 proceedings is a true, perfect, complete and
- 7 correct transcript of proceedings had on said day
- 8 in this cause.
- 9 IN TESTIMONY WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my
- 10 hand and affixed my notarial seal this 7th day of
- 11 May, 2008.

12

14
15
16 CSR, RMR
17 NOTARY PUBLIC
18
19
20
21 License Number: 084-001736
22 My commission expires July 18, 2009
23
24

ALLIANCE REPORTING SERVICE 6746 NORTH FROSTWOOD PARKWAY, PEORIA, ILLINOIS 61615